## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

	*	Chapter 11:
In re:  LTV STEEL COMPANY, INC., a New Jersey corporation, et al.,	: : :	Jointly Administered Case No. 00-43866 Judge Randolph Baxter
Debtors.	: :	

## APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION AND FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES

Name of Applicant: Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP			
Authorized to Provide Professional Services to: Official Committee of Noteholders			
Date of Retention: March 21, 2001 (nunc pro tunc to January 25, 2001)			
Period for which compensation and reimbursement is sought: <u>January 25, 2001 through April 30, 2003</u>			
Amount of Compensation sought as actual, reasonable, and necessary: \$2,416,593.75			
Amount of Expense Reimbursement sought as actual, reasonable, and necessary: \$273,328.08			

This is a final fee application.

# SUMMARY OF PRIOR INTERIM FEE APPLICATIONS FILED BY OF AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

In	terim Fee Applications	Fees	Total Expenses
First Fee App	olication (01/15/01 – 4/30/01)		
(a)	Requested	\$521,359.25	\$42,673.94
(b)	Approved and paid	\$521,359.25	\$42,673.94
Second Fee A	Application (05/01/01 – 08/31/01)		
(a)	Requested	\$583,841.50	\$76,095.97
(b)	Paid	\$499,532.21	\$76,095.97
(c)	Unpaid but approved	\$84,309.29	\$0.00
Third Fee A	oplication (09/01/01 – 12/31/01)		
(a)	Requested	\$508,415.25	\$52,900.47
(b)	Paid	\$499,729.44	\$52,900.47
(c)	Unpaid and approved	\$8,685.81	\$0
Fourth Fee A	Application (01/01/02 – 04/30/02)		
(a)	Requested	\$171,805.75	\$28,478.50
(b)	Paid	\$171,805.75	\$28,478.50
Fifth Fee Ap	plication (05/01/02 – 08/30/02)		
(a)	Requested	\$243,342.50	\$24,140.45
(b)	Paid	\$243,342.50	\$24,140.45

In	terim Fee Applications	Fees	Total Expenses
Sixth Fee Ap	oplication (09/01/02 – 12/31/02)		
(a)	Requested	\$214,508.50	\$24,595.33
(b)	Paid	\$214,508.50	\$24,595.33
Seventh Fee	Application (01/01/03 – 04/30/03)		
(a)	Requested	\$173,321.00	\$24,443.42
(b)	Paid	\$173,321.00	\$24,443.42

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

In re:

LTV STEEL COMPANY, INC., A New Jersey Corporation, et al.,

Chapter 11
Jointly Administered

Judge Randolph Baxter

Debtors.

Case No. 00-43866

APPLICATION OF AKIN GUMP STRAUSS
HAUER & FELD LLP, ATTORNEYS FOR THE
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF NOTEHOLDERS, FOR
FINAL ALLOWANCE AND AWARD OF COMPENSATION
AND FOR THE REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES
FOR SERVICES RENDERED DURING THE PERIOD
JANUARY 25, 2001 THROUGH APRIL 30, 2003

## TO: THE HONORABLE RANDOLPH BAXTER, CHIEF UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP ("Akin Gump" or "Applicant"), counsel to the Official Committee of Noteholders (the "Committee") of LTV Steel Company, Inc. ("LTV Steel") and its affiliated Debtors (collectively, the "LTV Steel Debtors"), for this final fee application relating only to services rendered in the LTV

The LTV Steel Debtors include, LTV Steel Company, Inc., The LTV Corporation (a Delaware corporation), Crystalane, Inc., Dearborn Leasing Company, ErieB Corporation, Erie I Corporation, Fox Trail, Inc., Georgia Tubing Corporation, Investment Bankers, Inc., J&L Empire, Inc., Jalcite I, Inc., Jalcite II, Inc., Jones & Laughlin Steel Incorporated, LTV-Columbus Processing, Inc., The LTV Corporation (a Wyoming corporation), LTV-EGL Holdings Company, LTV Electro-Galvanizing, Inc., LTV Escrow, Inc., LTV International, Inc., LTV Pickle, Inc., LTV Properties, Inc., LTV Steel Mining Company, LTV-Trico Holdings, Inc., LTV-Trico, Inc., LTVGT, Inc., Nemacolin Mines Corporation, Reomar, Inc., Republic Technology Corporation, Southern Cross Investment Company, TAC Acquisition Corporation, Trico Steel Company, Inc., Youngstown Erie Corporation and YST Erie Corporation.

Steel Debtors' Chapter 11 cases (the "Application") seeking (i) final allowance and award of compensation for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses in connection with the LTV Steel Debtors' Chapter 11 cases during the period January 25, 2001 through April 30, 2003, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 330(a). In support of the Application, Akin Gump respectfully represents:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

to January 25, 2001 pursuant to an order of this Court dated March 21, 2001. In April 2003, LTV Steel requested that Akin Gump, and the other professionals, retained in this proceeding, beginning as of January 1, 2003 allocate its services between the LTV Steel Debtors and the Copperweld Debtors.<sup>2</sup> In addition, pursuant to the Intercompany Claims Settlement, as described in paragraph 45, the fees and expenses incurred by Akin Gump for the period (i) January 25, 2001 through December 31, 2002 were to be paid by LTV Steel Company, Inc., (ii) January 1, 2003 through April 30, 2003 (excluding services provided in the Copperweld Debtors' Chapter 11 cases) were to be paid for by The LTV Corporation; and (iii) May 1, 2003 through March 8, 2004 (excluding services provided to the Copperweld Debtors' Chapter 11 cases) are to paid by the VP Buildings, Inc. Debtors (the "VP Debtors").<sup>3</sup> By this Application, Akin Gump is seeking final allowance

The Copperweld Debtors include the Copperweld Corporation, Copperweld Bimetallic Products Company, Copperweld Equipment Company, Copperweld Marketing & Sales Company, Metallon Materials Acquisition Corporation, Miami Acquisition Corporation, Southern Cross Investment Company, Tac Acquisition Corporation, Welded Tube Co. of America, and Welded Tube Holdings, Inc.

The VP Debtors include VP Buildings, Inc., United Panel, Inc., Varco Pruden International, Inc., VP-Graham, Inc., LTV Blanking Corporation, LTV Steel De Mexico, Ltd. and LTV-Walbridge, Inc.

and award of fees and expenses for services rendered with respect to the LTV Steel Debtors for the period January 25, 2001 through April 30, 2003.

- 2. By this application, Akin Gump seeks final allowance of fees and expenses in the aggregate amount of \$2,689,921.83 for the period of January 25, 2001 through April 30, 2003, which compensation was previously awarded to Akin Gump on an interim basis pursuant to prior orders of this Court. Akin Gump has submitted a final fee application in the Copperweld Debtors Chapter 11 case and will also be submitting a separate final fee application in the VP Debtors' Chapter 11 cases.
- 3. Venue of this proceeding and this application is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are 11 U.S.C. § 330 and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a) and 2016.

#### II. BACKGROUND

- 4. On December 29, 2000 (the "Petition Date"), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Pursuant to order of this Court dated December 29, 2000, the Debtors' chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and were jointly administered.
- 5. On December 7, 2001, this Court approved an Asset Protection Plan ("APP") for LTV Steel Company, Inc. ("LTV Steel"), which APP provided for wind-down of LTV Steel. Pursuant to the APP, the sale or shutdown of substantially all of the LTV Steel's facilities has occurred.

- On February 28, 2002, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Order Pursuant to Sections 105, 363, and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code (A) Approving Asset Purchase Agreement; (B) Authorizing the Sale of Certain Integrated Steel Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims and Encumbrances and Approving Related Lien Treatment Procedures; and (C) Approving Procedures for the Assumption and Assignment of Related Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases.
- 7. On February 11, 2003, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order authorizing a process to wind down the Debtor's estate (the "Wind Down Order"). The Wind Down Order authorized, inter alia, a bifurcation of the administrative claims according to those which arose pre-APP and those which were incurred post-APP; liquidation of the LTV Steel Debtor's remaining assets; the finalization of settlements and resolution of ongoing litigation and appeals; collection of amounts due including prosecuting preference avoidance actions; resolution of intercompany claims; and the final filing of a motion to dismiss the Chapter 11 cases.
- 8. Akin Gump respectively submits that the services rendered on behalf of the Committee were necessary, resulted in the effective administration of these cases, and directly benefited the LTV Steel Debtors' unsecured creditors.
- 9. On January 19, 2001 (the "Committee Formation Date"), pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 1102, the United States Trustee appointed the Committee consisting of seven members. At the time of dissolution, the Committee consisted of the indenture trustees to (a) the 8.2% senior notes due 2007, in the face amount of \$300

million; and (b) the 11 3/4 % senior notes due 2009, in the face amount of \$275 million. Both tranches of senior notes were issued by The LTV Corporation ("LTV Corp.") and were guaranteed by certain other Debtors, included the Copperweld Debtors. On January 25, 2001, the Committee selected Akin Gump to serve as counsel to the Committee pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 1103(a). On March 8, 2004, the Committee dissolved, except for purposes of reviewing and objecting to final fee applications.

- 10. As stated in the Affidavit of Lisa G. Beckerman, Esq., annexed hereto as Exhibit "A," all of the services for which interim compensation is sought herein were rendered for or on behalf of the Committee solely in connection with these cases.
- Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Expenses for services rendered during the Period of January 25, 2001 through April 30, 2003. These interim fee applications are incorporated by reference herein. A schedule of the fees and expenses request and payments made with respect to the prior interim fee applications is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
- 12. Included in this Application is a request for the allowance and award of compensation for services rendered on behalf of the LTV Steel Debtors during the period May 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001. These fees were requested in Akin Gump's Second Interim Fee Application and Third Interim Fee Application. On September 26, 2002, this Court entered an order approving Akin Gump's Second Interim

Fee Application and on November 4, 2002, this Court entered an order approving Akin Gump's Third Interim Fee Application. The fees were allowed on an interim basis but certain fees remain unpaid because total professional fees for all retained professionals exceeded the carve out provision for payment of legal fees in the Debtors' debtor in possession financing agreement. The payment of these fees was deferred until the conclusion of these Chapter 11 cases. Akin Gump requests payment of fees in the amount of \$79,040.10 for services rendered with respect to the LTV Steel Debtors' Chapter 11 cases.<sup>4</sup>

## III. SUMMARY OF SERVICES RENDERED

services to the Committee as requested and as necessary and appropriate in furtherance of the interests of the Debtors' noteholders. The variety and complexity of these cases and the need to act or respond on an expedited basis in furtherance of the Committee's needs have required the expenditure of substantial time by personnel from several legal disciplines, on an as-needed basis.

Akin Gump expressly reserves its rights to seek payment of fees and expenses included in the Second Interim Fee Application and Third Interim Fee Application from the estates of the other Debtors. In Akin Gump's final fee application filed with respect to the Copperweld Debtors, Akin Gump requested payment of \$13,955.00 for services rendered on behalf of the Copperweld Debtors during the period November 28, 2001 through December 27, 2001.

- attorneys and paraprofessionals in the rendition of their professional services to the Committee. Such time records were made contemporaneously with the rendition of services by the person rendering such services and in the ordinary course of Akin Gump's practice, and are presented in a form, which is in compliance with General Order No. 93-1 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Ohio, dated July 28, 1993 and the Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. §330 issued by U. S. Department of Justice, Executive Office of the United States Trustee, dated March 22, 1995 (the "Fee and Expense Order and Guidelines"). A compilation showing the name of the attorney or paraprofessional, the date on which the services were performed, a description of the services rendered, and the amount of time spent in performing the services during the periods January 25, 2001 through April 30, 2003.
- of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the rendition of its professional services, all of which are also available for inspection. A schedule of the categories of expenses and amounts for which reimbursement is requested is annexed hereto as Exhibit "D".
- 16. Akin Gump respectfully submits that the professional services that it rendered on behalf of the Committee were necessary and have directly contributed to the effective administration of these cases.

17. The following summary of services rendered during the Compensation Period is not intended to be a detailed description of the work performed, as those day-to-day services and the time expended in performing such services are fully set forth in Exhibit "C". Rather, it is merely an attempt to highlight certain of those areas in which services were rendered to the Committee, as well as to identify some of the problems and issues that Akin Gump was required to address.

#### (i) Case Administration

- 18. Akin Gump's attention to the Committee's organizational needs during the Compensation Period enabled the Committee to function as a coordinated group and to acquit its fiduciary duties.
- 19. Akin Gump reviewed and analyzed all motions filed by the Debtors and other parties in interest in this Court, including, but not limited to, motion to retain professionals, motion to obtain debtor in possession financing; motions to extend exclusivity; motion to assume or reject executory contracts, real property leases and license agreements; motion to establish procedures for setoff of mutual prepetition obligations; motions to lift the automatic stay; motions for adequate protection; motion to clarify and supplement the cash management order; motion to reject collective bargaining agreement; motions to sell certain assets; motions for payment of administration claims; motions authorizing settlement agreements; and motions to approve intercompany allocation settlement. Akin Gump kept the Committee members advised of all material developments during the Compensation Period. Akin Gump also consulted with KPMG

LLP ("KPMG"), the Committee's financial advisor, and CIBC World Markets, Inc. ("CIBC"), the Committee's former financial advisor, regarding documents and other information received or required from the Debtors.

20. Due to Akin Gump's experience in counseling creditors' committees, Akin Gump believes it was able to efficiently address all issues relating to case administration that have arisen during the tendency of this case. At the request of the Committee, Akin Gump assisted in or took the lead in analyzing all motions presented by the Debtors, advising the Committee on its own initiatives and directions for the case, and analyzing inter-creditor issues. Akin Gump coordinated all Committee activities, coordinated the activities of the Committee's advisors, and interacted with the Committee's chair in setting agendas for the Committee.

### (ii) Post-Petition Financing

21. On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed an emergency motion (the "Emergency Motion") requesting an Order granting their immediate, interim use of cash collateral, as well as the entrance of a subsequent final Order approving same. The Debtors sought this relief even though the accounts receivables and inventory of the Debtors' Integrated Steel business segment and certain other accounts receivable were purportedly "sold" pre-petition to LTV Sales Finance and/or LTV Steel Products -- non-Debtor entities. In the Emergency Motion, the Debtors asserted that the pre-petition transfers to LTV Sales Finance and LTV Steel Products were not, in fact and law, "true sales" but, rather, secured financing arrangements disguised as true sales and,

consequently, the cash remained property of the Debtors' Chapter 11 estates. After a hearing, the Court entered an interim Order authorizing the Debtors' emergency use of cash collateral (the "Interim Order").

- 22. Not surprisingly, the lenders under the accounts receivables and inventory facilities vigorously opposed the relief requested in the Emergency Motion. Soon after the Court entered the Interim Order, one of the lenders under the receivables facility, Abbey National Treasury Services PLC ("Abbey"), filed a motion for reconsideration of the Interim Order. The Court denied Abbey's motion for reconsideration and Abbey filed a notice of appeal. Intense discovery bearing on the "true sale" issue was taken by the Debtors and certain lenders under the receivables and inventory facilities. Akin Gump attorneys participated in all phases of the discovery process, including attending many of the depositions. Akin Gump also prepared numerous memoranda for the Committee explaining the structure of the securitizations, the relevant legal standards applicable to a "true sale" analysis, the relevant facts deducted from discovery, and possible outcomes of the litigation.
- 23. A hearing on the Debtors' request for a final Order approving use of cash collateral, initially scheduled for March 7, 2001, was adjourned based on the Debtors' representation that it had settled the matter with the lenders under the receivables and inventory facilities. The DIP Facilities (as defined below) constituted this settlement.

- On March 3, 2001, the Debtors filed its Motion for an Order,
  Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§105, 361, 362, 363, 364(c)(1), 364(c)(2) and 364(c)(3) and
  Fed. R. Bankr. Proc. 4001(c) and 9019, Approving (I) Proposed Debtor in Financing
  Facilities; and (II) the Repurchase of Inventory, Accounts Receivable, and Adequate
  Protection Claims (the "DIP Motion"). The DIP Motion sought authorization to enter
  into two debtor-in-possession financing facilities (each a "DIP Facility") one DIP
  Facility with The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A., and the other with Ableco Finance.
- 25. Akin Gump reviewed, analyzed and, at the Committee's request, filed an objection to the DIP Facilities. Akin Gump negotiated substantial changes to the proposed final DIP orders which resulted in the Committee withdrawing its objection to the DIP Facilities.

#### (iii) DIP Modification Motion

26. On November 27, 2001, the Debtors also filed a motion (the "DIP Modification Motion") requesting an order approving modifications to the terms and conditions of the Debtors' debtor-in-possession financing facility (the "DIP Facility" or "DIP Loans") provided by certain lenders (the "DIP Lenders") and modifications to the Bankruptcy Court's March 20, 2001 final order approving same (the "Final DIP Order"). Akin Gump reviewed and commented on the DIP Modification Motion, which sought technical modifications to the terms and conditions of the DIP Facility to effectuate the substantive provisions of the APP.

## (iv) Retention of Committee's Professionals

27. During the Compensation Period, Akin Gump prepared the applications to retain (i) Akin Gump, as co-counsel to the Committee; (ii) CIBC World Markets, Inc., as financial advisors to the Committee; and (iii) KPMG LP, as successor financial advisor to the Committee. In addition, Akin Gump reviewed the retention applications of the professionals sought to be retained by the Debtors, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors' Committee") and the Official Committee of Administrative Expenses Creditors (the "ACC").

#### (v) <u>KERP</u>

proposed key employee retention plan ("KERP") and prepared a counterproposal. Akin Gump, presented the counterproposal to the Debtors' management and professionals. Akin Gump and CIBC engaged in extensive negotiations with the Debtors' management and professionals and the Creditors' Committee and its professionals with respect to the KERP proposal. As a result of such negotiations, the Debtors sought approval of a KERP, which was largely acceptable to the Committee, except for its treatment of employees of LTV Steel Mining. At the Committee's request, Akin Gump prepared an objection on these limited grounds to the KERP.

## (vi) Creditors' Committee Meetings

29. Akin Gump held numerous in-person meetings and conference calls with the full Committee during the Compensation Period. Prior to the meeting and

conference calls with the Committee, Akin Gump reviewed each pending matter requiring the Committee's attention and all underlying documentation in connection therewith. Thereafter, Akin Gump discussed each of these matters with the full Committee, as well as individual Committee members, and assisted the Committee in formulating a position thereon. In addition, Akin Gump prepared detailed memoranda to the Committee during the Compensation Period discussing the status of important matters in these proceedings.

30. Through these telephone conferences and correspondence, Akin Gump has assisted the Committee in fulfilling its statutory duties to make informed decisions regarding the various issues which have arisen in these cases, to monitor closely the Debtors' management of these proceedings, and to reach independent conclusions on the merits of specific matters, as well as regarding the prospects of reorganization.

#### (vii) Court Hearings

- 31. Akin Gump attorneys appeared at all the major hearings held by this Court and actively asserted the Committee's position at such hearings.
  - (viii) Preparation of Monthly Billing Statements/ Other Professionals Monthly Billing Statements
- 32. Akin Gump prepared its monthly billing statements and seven applications for interim allowance of compensation and reimbursement of expenses.

  Akin Gump summarized the monthly billing reports and interim fee applications of the Debtors' professionals and the other professionals retained in these proceedings for

review by the Committee to identify any issues regarding such billing reports and discussed such billing reports with the Committee.

#### (ix) Asset Sales

- 33. During the Compensation Period, Akin Gump reviewed and summarized for the Committee motions by the Debtors to sell their tin business and the stock of Mahoning Valley Railway Company. Akin Gump also reviewed and commented on, in conjunction with CIBC, a proposed letter of intent between the Debtors and Wellspring relating to the sale of the assets of the Debtors' V.P. Buildings business. Akin Gump participated in the auction and hearing regarding the sale of the Debtors' VP Buildings business.
- 34. During the Compensation Period, Akin Gump reviewed, analyzed and commented on the proposed sale of LTV Steel Mining Company's mine and power generation facilities (the "LTVSMC Assets") to Cliffs Erig LLC and Rainy River Corporation (the "Cliffs/Rainy Bid"). Prior to the Compensation Period, the Debtors received a number of indications of interest to purchase the LTVSMC Assets. The Debtors and Akin Gump reviewed all of the indications of interest with respect to these assets and concluded that the Cliffs/Rainy Bid was the best bid.
- 35. Akin Gump reviewed and commented on a draft asset purchase agreement with respect to the LTVSMC Asset Sale. On October 23, 2001, this Court entered an order authorizing the sale of the LTVSMC Assets to Cliffs Eric LLC and Rainy River Company.

- 36. During the Compensation Period, Akin Gump reviewed and commented on the Debtors' proposed sale of certain integrated steel assets before such assets were cold-idled as part of the APP.
- On February 8, 2002, the LTV Steel Debtors filed a motion to 37. approve the sale of certain integrated steel assets (the majority of which are referred to herein as the "Acquired Assets") to WLR Acquisition Corp. (n/k/a International Steel Group, Inc.) ("WRL"), free and clear of all liens, claims and encumbrances pursuant to Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Motion"). Pursuant to an order dated February 28, 2002 (the "Order") approving the Motion, the Court approved procedures for allocating the net proceeds (the "Net Proceeds") of the sale of the Acquired Assets among each of the Acquired Assets and the respective claims hereon. The Acquired Assets include the following: Cleveland Works; Indiana Harbor Works; Hennepin Works, Lorain Pellet Terminal; Grand River Lime Plant; Short-Line Railroad Equipment (pursuant to a separate agreement); Warren Coke Facility; and certain Prepaid Expenses. The Closing of the sale of the integrated steel assets to WLR occurred on April 12, 2002. On April 26, 2002, The LTV Steel Debtors' filed a Notice of Allocation of Net Proceeds of the Integrated Steel Assets, relating to the sale of certain integrated steel assets to WRL.
- 38. On May 21, 2002, the Debtors filed a motion (the "Bid Procedures Motion") for authority to establish certain bidding procedures for the sale of certain of

the Copperweld Debtors' businesses (the "Assets") and granting other relief. On June 2, 2002, this Court entered an order with respect to the Bid Procedures Motion.

- 39. Akin Gump reviewed and extensively commented on the forms of the proposed asset purchase agreement to be sent to potential purchasers of the Assets.

  Akin Gump reviewed all of the bids received for the Assets and prepared memoranda for the Committee regarding the bids received for such assets.
- 40. On behalf of the Committee, Akin Gump also reviewed and commented on asset purchase agreement for real property located in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania and the Debtors' motion for authorization to sell all of its 11.11% limited liability membership in TWB Company, LLC.
- 41. During the Compensation Period, Akin Gump reviewed and commented on the sale of the LTV Tubular business and the asset purchase agreements for real property located in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, the Kirby Tubular property, the Chicago Buffer property and the Debtors' motion for authorizations to sell all of its 11.11% limited liability membership in TWB Company, LLC.
- 42. During the Compensation Period, Akin Gump reviewed and commented on the asset purchase agreements for Lorain, Ohio property, the Black River property located in Ohio and the Debtors' motion for authorization to sell all of its Northern Land Stock.
- 43. On October 24, 2002, this Court issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order Regarding Notice of Allocation and Amended Notice of Allocation of Net

Proceeds of the Integrated Steel Sale. There were several motions by parties appealing the allocation seeking to preclude distribution of the monies to secured creditors. Akin Gump participated in the hearing held on these motions.

## (x) Lien Perfection Review

Akin Gump obtained and began its review of numerous UCC filings, mortgages and real property searches relating to the perfection of the pre-petition liens of Credit Suisse First Boston ("CSFB"), the agent for a syndicate of term loan lenders.

Akin Gump had several discussions with counsel for CSFB and the Creditors' Committee regarding the results of the lien searches.

### (xi) <u>Intercompany Claims Analysis</u>

45. Akin Gump and KPMG participated with the LTV Steel Debtors' professionals, the Copperweld Debtors' professionals, the Creditors' Committee's professionals, the Copperweld Lenders' professionals, the Administrative Creditors' Committee's professionals and the LTV Steel Debtors' management in settlement discussions. There were extensive negotiations concerning the post-petition intercompany claims which resulted in a settlement agreement among all of the major constituencies which was approved by this Court in November 2003.

#### (xii) <u>CBA Motion</u>

46. On July 30, 2001, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Modified Labor Agreement (the "MLA") between the Debtors and the USWA, which temporarily replaced the CBAs as the governing labor agreement. The parties agreed to extend the

MLA until the earlier of (a) the date that it became clear that the Debtors would not obtain certain additional financing; (b) the date that other conditions precedent to the implementation of long-term revisions to the CBAs were not satisfied; or (c) December 15, 2001. Subsequently, the parties could not agree on significant additional labor-related cost reductions necessary for the Debtors to obtain additional post-petition financing in connection with the emergency steel guaranty loan. On November 20, 2001, as part of implementing its Asset Protection Plan (the "APP"), the Debtors filed a motion for an order authorizing the rejection of certain collective bargaining agreements (the "CBAs") covering 7600 employees, pursuant to Section 1113 of the Bankruptcy Code. Upon the failure of such negotiations, the MLA terminated and the prior CBAs became operative again. The Debtors sought to reject the CBAs unless they could reach a negotiated settlement with the USWA to preserve certain benefits (as described below) during the APP liquidation process.

47. Throughout the Compensation Period, Akin Gump, together with CIBC, had numerous meetings and discussions with the Debtors, and their professionals, and representatives of the Creditors Committee to discuss labor negotiations. In addition, Akin Gump reviewed and prepared memoranda for the Committee regarding the labor negotiations.

## (xiii) Asset Protection Plan Motion

48. On November 20, 2001, the Debtors filed a motion (the "APP Motion") requesting an order providing them with the following relief: (1) approval of

their asset protection plan (the "Asset Protection Plan" or "APP") for their integrated steel business; (2) authorization to take actions necessary or appropriate to implement the Asset Protection Plan; (3) relief from certain notice periods; (4) certain employee protections for actions taken in connection with the approval and implementation of the Asset Protection Plan; (5) approval of an employee retention plan; (6) authorization of the rejection of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases; and (7) approval of procedures for the expedited rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases.

- 49. During the Compensation Period, Akin Gump, together with CIBC, had numerous meetings and discussions with the Debtors, their professionals, and representatives of the Creditors' Committee to discuss the APP Motion. Akin Gump reviewed and analyzed the APP Motion and prepared extensive memoranda to the Committee detailing the terms of the APP Motion and the ramifications thereof.
- 50. In addition, at the Committee's request, Akin Gump prepared and filed an objection to the APP Motion. Akin Gump attorneys attended the Court hearings with respect to the APP Motion to press the Committee's objection. After several days of hearings and settlement negotiations among various parties, including the Committee, a revised APP budget was agreed to along with certain other changes to the APP. On December 7, 2001, this Court entered a Memorandum Opinion and an Order approving the APP as modified.

## (xiv) Wind Down Process

authorizing a process to wind down its estate (the "Wind Down Motion"). Akin Gump reviewed and analyzed the Wind Down Motion and at the direction of the Committee filed a detailed response in support of the Wind Down Motion. In addition, Akin Gump reviewed and analyzed the numerous objections filed with respect to the Wind Down Motion. On February 11, 2003, this Court entered an order approving the Wind Down Motion (the "Wind Down Order"). Akin Gump also reviewed and analyzed the documents filed with this Court and the District Court in connection with the appeal of the Wind Down Order.

## (xv) Motion to Disband the Equity Committee

52. On August 2, 2001, the Committee and the Creditors' Committee prepared and filed a joint motion (a) for an Order, pursuant to Section 107(b) and Rule 9018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, for an Order authorizing an *in camera* hearing and directing all parties to file under seal all submissions containing confidential information, and (b) for an Order, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 105(a) and Bankruptcy Rule 2020 and 9014, directing the United States Trustee to disband the Official Committee of Equity Holders (the "Equity Committee"), and (ii) this joint objection to the retention of co-counsel to the Equity Committee (the "Disband Motion"). The Committee took the laboring oar with respect to the preparation of the Disband Motion. The Court entered an order authorizing an order authorizing an in

camera hearing and directing all parties to file under seal all submissions containing confidential information (the "August, 2001 Order").

formal discovery with counsel to the Equity Committee but not the U.S. Trustee, as the U.S. Trustee refused to enter into any confidentiality agreement with the Committee to protect the Debtors' proprietary information. Nevertheless, the U.S. Trustee insisted on participating in the discovery process. Accordingly, the Committee, Creditors' Committee and the Debtors had no choice but to file a joint motion for a protective order to safeguard the Debtors' proprietary information, which the Court granted on September 27, 2001 (the "Protective Order"). While the Protective Order mooted the U.S. Trustee's appeal from the August 8, 2001 Order, in toto, the U.S. Trustee refused to dismiss its appeal. Accordingly, the Committee filed a response to the U.S. Trustee's appellate brief with the District Court on November 1, 2001. Akin Gump also had numerous discussions with counsel for the Debtors, counsel for the Creditors' Committee, counsel for the Equity Committee and the United States Trustee about discovery issues. Ultimately, the United States Trustee disbanded the Equity Committee.

## (xvi) Creditor Inquiries

54. Akin Gump fielded numerous telephone inquiries from unsecured creditors to discuss the status of various pending matters, and to respond to their many questions about the bankruptcy process, status of their claims against the Debtors, and potential for recovery in these Chapter 11 proceedings.

## IV. FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED IN AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES

- enumerated in <u>In re First Colonial Corporation of America</u>, 544 F.2d 1291, 1298-99 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1977), <u>reh'g denied</u>, 547 F.2d 573, <u>cert. denied</u>, 431 U.S. 904, which standards have been adopted by most courts. Akin Gump respectfully submits that a consideration of these factors should result in this Court's allowance of the full compensation sought.
  - (A) The Time and Labor Required. The professional services rendered by Akin Gump on behalf of the Committee have required the continuous expenditure of substantial time and effort, under time pressures that on a regular basis required the performance of services late into the evening and, on a certain occasions, over weekends. The services rendered required a high degree of professional competence and expertise in order to be administered with skill and dispatch.
  - (B) The Novelty and Difficulty of Questions. In this case, as in all others in which the firm is involved, Akin Gump's effective advocacy and creative approach have helped clarify and resolve such issues.
  - (C) The Skill Requisite to Perform the Legal Services Properly. Akin Gump believes that its recognized expertise in the area of corporate reorganization, its ability to draw from highly experienced professionals in other areas of Akin Gump's practice, and its creative approach to the

resolution of issues will contribute to the maximization of distributions to the Debtors' unsecured creditors.

- (D) The Preclusion of Other Employment by Applicant Due to

  Acceptance of the Case. Due to the size of Akin Gump's insolvency
  department, Akin Gump's representation of the Committee has not
  precluded its acceptance of new clients.
- (E) The Customary Fee. The fee sought herein is based upon Akin Gump's normal hourly rates for services of this kind. Akin Gump respectfully submits that the fee sought herein is not unusual given the magnitude and complexity of these cases and the time expended in attending to the representation of the Committee, and is commensurate with fees Akin Gump has been awarded in other cases, as well as with fees charged by other attorneys of comparable experience.
- (F) Whether the Fee is Fixed or Contingent. Pursuant to sections 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code, all fees sought by professionals employed under section 327 of the Code are contingent pending final approval by this Court, and are subject to adjustment dependent upon the services rendered and the results obtained.
- (G) <u>Time Limitations Imposed by Client or Other Circumstances</u>. As already indicated, Akin Gump has been required to attend to certain issues arising in these cases in a compressed and urgent time-frame.

Occasionally, Akin Gump has had to perform those services under significant time constraints requiring attorneys assigned to these cases to work evenings and on weekends.

- (H) The Amount Involved and Results Obtained. Through the efforts of Akin Gump, the Committee was an active participant in these Chapter 11 cases, and its constructive assistance, as well as criticism, has greatly contributed to enhancement of the recoveries available to the Debtors' creditors and to the efficient administration of these cases.
- Gump has a large and sophisticated insolvency practice and is playing and has played a major role in numerous cases of national import including, for example, the reorganization proceedings In re Aetna Industries, Inc.; In re Allegiance Telecom, Inc.; In re American Commercial Lines LLC; In re Dairy Mart Convenience Stores, Inc., In re Exide Technologies, Inc.; In re Flag Telecom Holdings Limited; In re Fountain View, Inc.; In re Globalstar, LP; In re Hayes Lemmerz, Inc.; In re Heilig Meyers Company; In re Kaiser Aluminum Corporation; In re Lernout & Hauspie Speech Products, N.V.; In re Loral Space & Communications Ltd.; In re Polaroid Corporation; In re Scott Cable Communications, Inc.; In re Sterling Chemical Holdings, Inc.; In re Venture Holdings Company, LLC; In re

Verado Holdings, Inc.; In re WorldCom, Inc.; and In re XO

Communications, Inc. Akin Gump's experience enables it to perform the services described herein competently and expeditiously. In addition to its expertise in the area of corporate reorganization, Akin Gump has called upon the expertise of its partners and associates in other practice areas to perform the wide ranging scope of the legal work necessitated by these cases.

- (J) The "Undesirability" of the Case. These cases are not undesirable.
- (K) Nature and Length of Professional Relationship. Akin Gump was selected as counsel to the Committee on January 25, 2001. Pursuant to an order of this Court, dated March 21, 2001, Akin Gump was retained as Counsel to the Committee, nunc pro tunc to January 25, 2001. Akin Gump has been rendering services continuously to the Committee since January 25, 2001, and continuing through the Compensation Period as necessary and appropriate.

#### V. ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION

56. The professional services rendered by Akin Gump required a high degree of professional competence and expertise so that the numerous issues requiring evaluation and determination by the Committee could be addressed with skill and dispatch and have, therefore, required the expenditure of substantial time and effort. It is respectfully submitted that the services rendered to the Committee were performed

efficiently, effectively and economically, and the results obtained to date have benefited not only the members of the Committee, but also the unsecured creditor body as a whole and the Debtors' estates.

57. With respect to the level of compensation, section 330(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Court may award to a professional person:

reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered ...

Section 330(a)(3)(A), in turn, provides that

In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded, the court shall consider the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including –

- (A) the time spent on such services;
- (B) the rates charged for such services;
- (C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time at which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under this title;
- (D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed; and
- (E) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.

11 U.S.C. §330(a)(3)(A). The clear Congressional intent and policy expressed in this statute is to provide for adequate compensation in order to continue to attract qualified and competent bankruptcy practitioners to bankruptcy cases.

- 58. The total time spent by Akin Gump attorneys and paraprofessionals during the Compensation Period was 6,716.65 hours. The work involved, and thus the time expended, was carefully assigned in light of the experience and expertise required for a particular task.
- 59. As shown by this application and supporting documents, Applicant spent its time economically and without unnecessary duplication of time. Attached hereto as Exhibit "E" is a schedule of the hours expended by the attorneys and paraprofessionals during the Compensation Period, their normal hourly rates, and the value of their services.
- 60. Akin Gump incurred actual out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the rendition of the professional services to the Committee in the sum of \$273,328.08 for which Akin Gump respectfully requests reimbursement in full. The disbursements and expenses have been incurred in accordance with Akin Gump's normal practice of charging clients for expenses clearly related to and required by particular matters. Akin Gump has endeavored to minimize these expenses to the fullest extent possible.
- 61. Akin Gump's billing rates do not include charges for photocopying, telephone and telecopier toll charges, computerized research, travel expenses, "working meals," secretarial overtime, postage and certain other office services, since the needs of each client for such services differ. Akin Gump believes that it is fairest to charge each client only for the services actually used in performing services for it. In these

proceedings, Akin Gump charges \$.20 per page for internal duplicating. The expenses incurred by Akin Gump are in compliance with the Fee and Expense Order and Guidelines.

- 62. No agreement or understanding exists between Akin Gump and any other person for the sharing of any compensation to be received for professional services rendered or to be rendered in connection with these cases.
- 63. No prior application has been made in this or in any other Court for the relief requested herein for the Compensation Period.

WHEREFORE, Akin Gump respectfully requests that this Court enter an order:

- (a) granting final allowance and award of fees and expenses in the aggregate amount of \$2,689,921.83 for the period of January 25, 2001 through April 30, 2003, which compensation was previously awarded to Akin Gump on an interim basis pursuant to orders of this Court;
- (b) authorizing and directing the LTV Steel Debtors to make all payments with respect to the fees and expenses requested in this Application; and

(c) granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just

and proper.

Dated: New York, New York March 16, 2004

## AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

By: You IM
Lisa G. Beckerman

A Member of the Firm

590 Madison Avenue

New York, New York 10022

(212) 872-1000

Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Noteholders

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_day of March 2004, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the counsel of record by one or more of the following: E-mail, USPS, or facsimile.

	1 7 1 7 1 7 1	
N. David Bleisch, Esquire	Joseph F. McDonough, Esq.	
LTV Steel Company, Inc.	Manion, McDonough & Lucas	
5800 Lombardo Center, Suite 200	Fourteenth Floor, 600 Grant Street	
Seven Hills, Ohio 44131	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	
Phone: (216) 642-2273	Phone: (412) 232-0200	
Facsimile: (216) 642-4595	Facsimile: (412) 232-0206	
	(Local Counsel for Official Committee of	
	Noteholders)	
Kay Woods, Esq.	Paul M. Singer, Esq.	
LTV Steel Company, Inc.	Eric A. Schaffer, Esq.	
5800 Lombardo Center, Suite 200	David Ziegler, Esq.	
Seven Hills, Ohio 44131	Reed Smith LLP	
Phone: (216) 642-3311	435 Sixth Avenue	
Facsimile: (216) 642-4595	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	
	Phone: (412) 288-3131	
	Facsimile: (412) 288-3063	
	(Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured	
	Creditors)	
David G. Heiman, Esq.	Richard M. Seltzer, Esquire	
Richard M. Cieri, Esq.	Cohen, Weiss and Simon LLP	
Heather Lennox, Esq.	330 West 42nd Street	
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue	New York, NY 10036-6976	
901 Lakeside Avenue	Phone: (212) 563-0212	
Cleveland, Ohio 44114	Facsimile: (212) 695-5436	
Phone: (216) 586-3939	(Counsel for the United Steelworkers of America)	
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212		
(Counsel for the Debtors)		
Jeffrey B. Ellman, Esq.	John Scully	
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue	Uniscribe	
1900 Huntington Center	815 Superior Avenue, Suite 1025	
41 South High Street	Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
Columbus, Ohio 43215	Phone: (216) 912-1900	
Phone: (614) 469-3922	Facsimile: (216) 912-1899	
Facsimile: (614) 461-4198	Email: jscull@uniscribe.com	
(Counsel for the Debtors)	(Copy Service and Web Site Provider)	
Saul Eisen, Esq.	David M. Fusco, Esq.	
Daniel M. McDermott, Esq.	Schwarzwald, Rock & McNair	
Office of the U.S. Trustee	1300 East Ninth Street	
BP Tower	Suite 616	
200 Public Square	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1503	
20th Floor, Suite 3300	Phone: (216) 566-1600	
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2301	Facsimile: (216) 566-1814	
Phone: (216) 522-7800	(Counsel for the United Steelworkers of America)	
Facsimile: (216) 522-7800 Facsimile: (216) 522-7193	(Sounder for the Office Dicornolitate of Patiental)	
Facsinine: (210) 322-7193		

CL: 581989v1

Lisa G. Beckerman, Esq.	Richard G. Mason, Esquire
Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, L L P.	Eric M. Rosof, Esquire
590 Madison Avenue	Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
New York, New York 10022	51 West 52nd Street
Phone: (212) 872-8012	New York, New York 10019-6150
Facsimile: (212) 872-1002	Phone: (212) 403-1000
(Counsel for Official Committee of Noteholders)	Facsimile: (212) 403-2000
	(Counsel for the Administrative Agent for
	Prepetition Secured Term Loan Lenders)
Richard S. Toder, Esq.	Joel M. Walker, Esq.
Robert H. Scheibe, Esq.	Philip J. Uher, Esq.
Andrew D. Gottfried, Esq.	Buchanan Ingersoll, P.C.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	One Oxford Centre, 20th Floor
101 Park Avenue	301 Grant Street
New York, New York 10178-0060	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
Phone: (212) 309-6000	Phone: (412) 562-8800
Facsimile: (212) 309-6273	Facsimile: (412) 562-1041
(Counsel for The Chase Manhattan Bank)	(Local Counsel for The Chase Manhattan Bank)
Lindsee P. Granfield, Esq.	David Heller, Esq.
James L. Bromley, Esq.	Stephen Tetro, Esq.
Boaz S. Morag, Esq.	Latham & Watkins Sears Tower, Suite 5800
Erik S. Groothuis, Esq.	233 S. Wacker Drive
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton	Chicago, IL 50505
One Liberty Plaza	Phone: (312) 876-7700
New York, NY 10006	Facsimile: (312) 993-9767
Phone: (212) 225-2000	(Counsel for the Administrative Agent for the
Facsimile: (212) 225-3999	Copperweld Debtors' Postpetition Lenders)
(Counsel for Abbey National Treasury Services	,
plc)	
Lee D. Powar, Esq.	Pension Benefits Guaranty Corporation
Lawrence E. Oscar, Esq.	Office of the General Counsel
Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP	Attn: Nathaniel Rayle, Esq.
3300 BP Tower	1200 K. Street, N.W., Suite 340
200 Public Square	Washington, DC 20005-4026
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2301	Phone: (202 326-4020
Phone: (216) 621-0150	Facsimile: (202) 326-4112
Facsimile: (216) 241-2824	a managed (mon) who is an
(Counsel for Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, as Chairman	
of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors)	
Matthew R. Goldman, Esq.	Karen E. Wagner, Esq.
Brian A. Bash, Esq.	Patrick A. Bradford, Esq.
Baker Hostetler LLP	Peter M. Bryce, Esq.
3200 National City Center	Davis Polk & Wardwell
1900 East Ninth Street	450 Lexington Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114-3485	New York, NY 10017
Phone: (216) 621-0200	Phone: (212) 450-4404
Facsimile: (216) 696:0740	Facsimile: (212) 450-5546
(Counsel for Official Committee of Administrative	1 addition (212) 700 0070
Claimants)	
Ciamiants)	<u> </u>

CL: 581989v1 2

Class I Marray Fac	Ira Bodenstein, Esq.
Glenn J. Moran, Esq.	Amy L. Good, Esq.
LTV Steel Company, Inc.	Dean Wyman, Esq.
200 Public Square	Dan McDermott
Cleveland, Ohio 44114	Office of the U.S. Trustee
Phone: (216) 642-3311	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Facsimile: (216) 642-4595	BP Tower
	200 Public Square
	20 <sup>th</sup> Floor, Suite 3300
	Cleveland, OH 44114-2301
	Phone: (216) 522-7800
	Facsimile: (216) 533-7193
David G. Heiman, Esq.	Joel J. Sprayregen
Richard M. Cieri, Esq.	Jared M. Wayne
Heather Lennox, Esq.	Shefsky & Froelich Ltd.
Michelle M. Morgan, Esq.	444 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 2500
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue	Chicago, IL 60611
901 Lakeside Avenue	Phone: (312) 836-4004
Cleveland, Ohio 44114	Fax: (312) 527-4011
Phone: (216) 586-3939	(Attorneys for Hunter Corporation)
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212	
(Counsel for the Debtors)	
Michael Yetnikoff, Esq.	Jonathon M. Yarger, Esq.
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC	Kohrman, Jackson & Krantz P.L.L.
Three First National Plaza	20th Floor
Suite 3300	One Cleveland Center
70 West Madison Street	1375 East Ninth Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602	Cleveland, Ohio, 44114
Phone: (312) 372-1121	Phone: (216) 696-8700
Facsimile: (312) 372-2098	Facsimile: (216) 621-6536
(Counsel for the Official Committee of	(Local Counsel for the Official Committee of
Equityholders)	Equityholders)
Eric H. Zagrans, Esq.	Martin D. Gelfand
The Zagrans Law Firm	Congressional Staff Counsel for U.S
5338 Meadow Lane Court	Representative Dennis J. Cucinich
Elyria, Ohio 44035-1469	14400 Detroit Avenue
Phone: (440) 934-7000	Lakewood, OH 44107
Facsimile: (440) 934-7001	Phone: (216) 228-8850
(Local Counsel to Abelco Finance LLC)	Fax (216) 228-6465
(Looki Coulise to Fischer Finance 2.20)	
Timothy J. Grendell	Henry A. Efroymson, Esq.
Co-Counsel for Amicus	Ben T. Caughey, Esq.
U.S. Representative Dennis J. Kucinich	Ice Miller
Grendell Simon Co., L.P.A.	One American Square
6640 Harris Road	Box 82001
Broadview Heights, OH 44147	Indianapolis, IN 46282-0002
Phone: (440) 746-9600	Phone: (317) 236-2100
Fax: (440) 746-9604	Fax: (317) 592-4643
	(Counsel for Treasurer of Lake County and City of
	East Chicago)

CL: 581989v1 3

Victoria E. Powers Schottenstein, Zox & Dunn 41 South High Street, Suite 260 Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: (614) 462-2700 Fax: (614) 462-5135	James H.M. Sprayregen Kirkland & Ellis 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601 Phone: (312) 861-2481 Fax: 312-861-2200 (Attorneys for Hunter Corporation)
William J. Stavole, Esq. Taft Stettinius & Hollister 200 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44114 Fax (216) 241-3707 Phone (216) 241-2838 (Counsel for General Electric Capital Corporation) Daniel J. Sponseller, Esq. Kirkpatrick & Lockhart, LLP Henry W. Oliver Building 535 Smithfield Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2312 Fax (412) 355-6501 Phone (412) 355-8650 (Counsel for Oil States Industries)	Stanley E. Levine, Esq. Campbell & Levine, LLC. 310 Grant Street Suite 1700 Grant Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219- Fax: (412) 261-5066 Phone: (412) 261-0310  John A. Gleason, Esq. Michael D. Zaverton, Esq. J. Allen Jones, III, Esq. Benesch, Friedlander, Coplan, & Aronoff, LLP 88 East Broad Street, Suite 900 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Fax: (614) 223-9330 Phone: (614) 223-9366
Daniel M. Anderson, Esq. Schottenstein, Zox, & Dunn Co., LPA 250 West Street Columbus, OH 43215 Fax: (614) 462-5135 Phone: (614) 462-2700	Bennett Murphy, Esq. Joshua Mester, Esq. Hennigan, Bennett & Dorman 601 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 Fax: (213) 694-1234 Phone: (213) 694-1200

CL: 581989v1 4