UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

In re : Chapter 11

ENRON CORP., et al., : Case No. 01-16034 (AJG)

:

Jointly Administered

Reorganized Debtors. :

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES REQUESTED BY HINTON, SUSSMAN, BAILEY & DAVIDSON, L.L.P. AND MANATT, PHELPS & PHILIPS, LLP AND DIRECTING ENRON TO PAY SUCH FEES AND EXPENSES

Upon consideration of the Final Applications for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses, as supplemented or amended, as the case may be (the "Final Applications") for professional services rendered and expenses incurred by certain professionals listed on Schedule A(1) attached hereto (each an "Applicant," and collectively, the "Applicants"); and the Fee Committee appointed by the Court, together with its retained professionals, having (1) reviewed the Final Applications and the respective supporting documentation, (2) met or discussed with the respective Applicants the amounts requested and appropriateness of certain of the fees and expenses requested, and (3) issued final reports with respect to the Final Applications and the amounts requested therein (each a "Final Report" and collectively, the "Final Reports"); and the amounts requested in certain of the Final Applications having been reduced on a final basis on account of issues or positions set forth in the Final Reports and agreed upon by the respective Applicants; and due notice of the Applications having been provided pursuant to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure; and the Court finding that (a) the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 and Section 38.1(i) of

the Supplemental Modified Fifth Amended Joint Plan of Affiliated Debtors Pursuant to Chapter

11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, dated July 2, 2004, (b) this is a core proceeding

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), (c) notice of the hearing to consider the Final Applications

was adequate under the circumstances and no other or further notice need be given, (d) no

objections having been filed by the United States Trustee with respect to the Final Applications,

(e) the Court having held a hearing with respect to the Final Applications on August 10, 2006

(the "Final Fee Hearing") and having heard the statements of counsel at the Final Fee Hearing,

and (f) this Court having found and determined that each of the Applicants should be awarded

final approval of the compensation and reimbursement of expenses in the amounts set forth on

Schedule A hereto; it is hereby

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 330 of title 11 of the United States Code,

final approval of the Final Applications is GRANTED to the extent set forth on Schedule A and

each of the Applicants is allowed compensation and reimbursement of expenses in the amounts

set forth on the attached Schedule A (each, an "Allowed Amount"); and it is further

ORDERED that Enron Corp. is hereby authorized and directed to disburse to each

Applicant the respective Allowed Amount, less any amounts previously paid to or deposited with

each Applicant on account of such Allowed Amount.

Dated: New York, New York

August 10, 2006

S/ ARTHUR J. GONZALEZ

HONORABLE ARTHUR J. GONZALEZ

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

2 A:\FINAL FEE ORDER.DOC

CASE NAME: IN RE ENRON CORP., ET AL.

FINAL COMPENSATION PERIOD						
APPLICANT	PERIOD	DOCUMENT NUMBER OF APPLICATION	FEES REQUESTED	FEES AWARDED	EXPENSES REQUESTED	EXPENSES AWARDED
Manatt, Phelps & Philips, LLP	Sept. 1, 2003 – Nov. 30, 2003	28895	\$11,890.00	\$3,816.80	\$377.78	\$206.47
2. Hinton, Sussman, Bailey & Davidson, L.L.P. ¹	June 11, 2002 – Aug. 2, 2002	29275	\$30,618.75	\$28,778.75	\$135.30	\$0.00
3. Hinton, Sussman, Bailey & Davidson, L.L.P. ²	June 17, 2002 – Oct. 1, 2002	10125	\$37,647.50	\$33,597.50	\$60.92	\$60.92

¹ For representation of Michael Grigsby.

² For representation of James Calvin Curry.

CASE NAME: IN RE ENRON CORP., ET AL.

SUMMARY: ALL FEE PERIODS ³					
APPLICANT	TOTAL FEES REQUESTED ⁴	TOTAL FEES AWARDED	TOTAL EXPENSES REQUESTED ²	TOTAL EXPENSES AWARDED	
1. Alston & Bird LLP	\$71,066,038.04	\$71,066,038.04	\$14,577,275.89	\$14,577,275.89	
2. Andrews Kurth, LLP	\$19,302,376.07	\$19,302,376.07	\$949,207.93	\$949,207.93	
3. Arnold & Porter	\$290,428.20	\$290,428.20	\$14,889.70	\$14,889.70	
4. Baker & Hostetler LLP	\$69,766.00	\$69,766.00	\$1,630.38	\$1,630.38	
5. Neal Batson, Esq.	\$1,809,810.25	\$1,809,810.25	\$10,325.95	\$10,325.95	
6. Professor George Benston, Ph.D.	\$420,452.50	\$420,452.50	\$1,502.00	\$1,502.00	
7. Blackstone Group LP	\$14,510,253.45	\$14,510,253.45	\$1,906,871.35	\$1,906,871.35	
8. Blank Rome LLP (f/k/a Dyer Ellis & Joseph P.C.)	\$1,875,369.61	\$1,875,369.61	\$107,978.70	\$107,978.70	
9. Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP	\$22,419,360.46	\$22,419,360.46	\$2,379,743.69	\$2,379,743.69	
10. Charles River Associates Inc. (n/k/a CRA International, Inc.)	\$3,286,419.93	\$3,286,419.93	\$68,526.64	\$68,526.64	
11. Cloyses Partners, LLC	\$71,372.50	\$71,372.50	\$2,702.80	\$2,702.80	
12. Connell Foley LLP	\$610,483.92	\$610,483.92	\$14,903.84	\$14,903.84	
13. Crossroads, LLC (n/k/a XRoads Solutions Group, LLC)	\$48,287.25	\$48,287.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	

³ Includes amounts approved by the Court as of this date, including the amounts approved in this Order for the Applicants identified on Schedule A(1).

SCHEDULE A(2)	Date:	Initials:	USBJ
---------------	--------------	-----------	------

⁴ As requested or as voluntarily reduced or deferred subsequent to the filing of the Final Application.

CASE NAME: IN RE ENRON CORP., ET AL.

SUMMARY: ALL FEE PERIODS ³					
APPLICANT	TOTAL FEES REQUESTED ⁴	TOTAL FEES AWARDED	TOTAL EXPENSES REQUESTED ²	TOTAL EXPENSES AWARDED	
14. Ernst & Young Corporate Finance LLC (n/k/a Giuliani Capital Advisors, LLC)	\$8,411,313.18	\$8,411,313.18	\$758,499.06	\$758,499.06	
15. Ernst & Young LLP	\$32,427,243.65	\$32,427,243.65	\$1,857,640.52	\$1,857,640.52	
16. Fee Committee Chairman and Professional Staff					
a. Chairman (Jerry Patchan)	\$651,422.75	\$651,422.75	\$72,185.57	\$72,185.57	
b. Chief Applications Analyst (Si Hopkins)	\$1,353,169.44	\$1,353,169.44	\$67,175.86	\$67,175.86	
c. Accounting and Financial Applications Analyst (Howard Klein)	\$679,780.29	\$679,780.29	\$24,412.10	\$24,412.10	
d. Assistant Applications Analyst (David Hathaway)	\$839,229.01	\$839,229.01	\$3,441.62	\$3,441.62	
d. Assistant Applications Analyst (Mary Jansing)	\$1,100,113.06	\$1,100,113.06	\$28,866.55	\$28,866.55	
d. Assistant Applications Analyst (Jan Ostrovsky)	\$886,209.45	\$886,209.45	\$12,024.65	\$12,024.65	
17. Fergus, a law firm	\$1,444,426.00	\$1,444,426.00	\$125,778.01	\$125,778.01	
18. FTI Consulting, Inc. (successor in interest to the Business Recovery Practice of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP) ⁵	\$28,656,301.27	\$28,656,301.27	\$2,575,577.23	\$2,575,577.23	
19. Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP	\$19,579.50	\$19,579.50	\$302.48	\$302.48	

⁵ Including amounts approved for PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP in the first and second interim compensation periods, encompassing December 21, 2001 through August 31, 2002.

So Ordered:

CASE NAME: IN RE ENRON CORP., ET AL.

SUMMARY: ALL FEE PERIODS ³						
APPLICANT	TOTAL FEES REQUESTED ⁴	TOTAL FEES AWARDED	TOTAL EXPENSES REQUESTED ²	TOTAL EXPENSES AWARDED		
20. Harrison J. Goldin and Goldin Associates, LLC	\$11,627,568.75	\$11,627,568.75	\$1,601,621.50	\$1,601,621.50		
21. Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Ritchie & Day LLP	\$194,137.27	\$194,137.27	\$18,239.61	\$18,239.61		
22. Hanson Bridgett Marcus Vlahos & Rudy, LLP	\$6,808.00	\$6,808.00	\$55.67	\$55.67		
23. Professor Al Hartgraves, Ph.D.	\$401,340.00	\$401,340.00	\$88.00	\$88.00		
24. Hattery Law Offices	\$723,481.00	\$723,481.00	\$53,369.01	\$53,369.01		
25. Hilder & Associates, P.C.	\$257,938.05	\$257,938.05	\$15,020.10	\$15,020.10		
26. Hinton, Sussman, Bailey & Davidson, L.L.P.	\$62,376.25	\$62,376.25	\$60.92	\$60.92		
27. Houlihan Lokey Howard & Zukin Financial Advisors, Inc.	\$25,327,419.35	\$25,327,419.35	\$524,327.30	\$524,327.30		
28. InteCap, Inc.	\$12,529,782.92	\$12,529,782.92	\$421,601.84	\$421,601.84		
29. Kaye Scholer LLP	\$5,365,587.71	\$5,365,587.71	\$261,862.11	\$261,862.11		
30. Kronish Lieb Weiner & Hellman, LLP	\$3,167,578.74	\$3,167,578.74	\$130,055.91	\$130,055.91		
31. LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP	\$31,951,389.32	\$31,951,389.32	\$1,077,382.86	\$1,077,382.86		
32. Legal Cost Control, Inc.	\$3,365,794.94	\$3,365,794.94	\$0.00	\$0.00		
33. Manatt, Phelps & Philips, LLP	\$254,017.79	\$254,017.79	\$10,873.64	\$10,873.64		
34. McClain & Siegel, LLP (n/k/a McClain, Leppert & Maney, P.C.)	\$295,187.12	\$295,187.12	\$18,805.83	\$18,805.83		
35. McKool Smith, PC	\$19,304,635.46	\$19,304,635.46	\$1,332,180.21	\$1,332,180.21		

So C	Ordered:	

CASE NAME: IN RE ENRON CORP., ET AL.

SUMMARY: ALL FEE PERIODS ³						
APPLICANT	TOTAL FEES REQUESTED ⁴	TOTAL FEES AWARDED	TOTAL EXPENSES REQUESTED ²	TOTAL EXPENSES AWARDED		
36. Mercer Human Resources Consulting, Inc.	\$700,540.00	\$700,540.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
37. Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy, LLP	\$58,272,502.11	\$58,272,502.11	\$3,616,420.95	\$3,616,420.95		
38. Miller Thomson (all amounts in CDN)	\$83,022.60	\$83,022.60	\$7,955.45	\$7,955.45		
39. Nathan Associates, Inc.	\$56,505.00	\$56,505.00	\$323.57	\$323.57		
40. Nixon Peabody LLP	\$31,890.52	\$31,890.52	\$6,758.10	\$6,758.10		
41. Patch Incorporated	\$429,822.50	\$429,822.50	\$104,444.14	\$104,444.14		
42. Plante & Moran, PLLC	\$6,185,693.99	\$6,185,693.99	\$476,834.20	\$476,834.20		
43. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ⁶	\$4,369,395.97	\$4,369,395.97	\$181,997.13	\$181,997.13		
44. Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP	\$172,605.26	\$172,605.26	\$27,158.94	\$27,158.94		
45. Relational Advisors LLC (f/k/a Batchelder & Partners, Inc.)	\$15,887,482.79	\$15,887,482.79	\$826,526.32	\$826,526.32		
46. Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meager & Flom, LLP	\$35,941,482.70	\$35,941,482.70	\$1,940,555.51	\$1,940,555.51		
47. Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	\$41,372,248.93	\$41,372,248.93	\$13,755,347.19	\$13,755,347.19		
48. Susman Godfrey, LLP	\$901,064.99	\$901,064.99	\$539,094.05	\$539,094.05		
49. Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP (n/k/a Swidler Berlin, LLP)	\$16,624,654.46	\$16,624,654.46	\$1,224,981.67	\$1,224,981.67		

⁶ Amounts approved beginning with the third interim compensation period, September 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002.

CASE NAME: IN RE ENRON CORP., ET AL.

SUMMARY: ALL FEE PERIODS ³					
APPLICANT	TOTAL FEES REQUESTED ⁴	TOTAL FEES AWARDED	TOTAL EXPENSES REQUESTED ²	TOTAL EXPENSES AWARDED	
50. Thelen Reid & Priest LLP	\$4,697,753.15	\$4,697,753.15	\$291,570.92	\$291,570.92	
51. Togut, Segal & Segal, LLP	\$15,415,419.57	\$15,415,419.57	\$765,363.35	\$765,363.35	
52. Tonkon Torp LLP	\$791,575.96	\$791,575.96	\$11,906.33	\$11,906.33	
53. Triad Communication, Inc.	\$883,497.78	\$883,497.78	\$102,110.62	\$102,110.62	
54. URS Corp.	\$730,681.49	\$730,681.49	\$168,173.36	\$168,173.36	
55. Venable LLP	\$7,190,273.45	\$7,190,273.45	\$393,115.38	\$393,115.38	
56. Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	\$149,382,880.08	\$149,382,880.08	\$9,573,428.49	\$9,573,428.49	
57. Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP (successor in interest to Wilmer Cutler & Pickering)	\$3,901,414.56	\$3,901,414.56	\$632,576.98	\$632,576.98	