IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY/COURTROP SON FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION US OCT -9 PM 5: 50

In re:) Chapter 11	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
CONSECO, INC., <u>et al.</u> ,) Case No. 02 B 49672) (Jointly Administered)	OCT 0 9 2003
Debtors.) Hon. Carol A. Doylc	KENNETH S. GARDNER, CLERK PS REP KS
	Objection Deadline: Decemb Hearing Date: February 4, 26	

NOTICE OF FILING OF APPLICATION OF SAUL EWING LLP, COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF CONSECO TRUST ORIGINATED PREFERRED DEBT HOLDERS, FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 3, 2003 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 10, 2003

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Thursday, October 9, 2003, we filed with the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division, the Application of Saul Ewing LLP, Counsel to the Official Committee of Conseco Trust Originated Preferred Debt Holders, for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period January 3, 2003 Through September 10, 2003 (the "Application"). Copies of the Application are available for viewing at the office of the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, 219 S. Dearborn Street, 7th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60604. A copy of the Application may also be obtained by contacting the undersigned.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that for the period January 3, 2003 through September 10, 2003, Saul Ewing, LLP seeks final allowance of compensation the amount of \$4,209,851.75 and reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses in the amount of \$460,201.99, for a total of \$4,670,053.74.

IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY ENTER AN ORDER GRANTING THE RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE APPLICATION WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR HEARING.

Dated: October 9, 2003

Official Committee of Trust Originated Preferred Debt Holders

Daniel R. Murray (01999591) Catherine Steege (06183529) Michael C. Rupe (6271421) JENNER & BLOCK, LLC One IBM Plaza Chicago, IL 60611

Phone: 312-222-9350 Facsimile: 312-527-0484 Donald J. Detweiler SAUL EWING, LLP **Suite 1200** 222 Delaware Avenue Wilmington, DE 19801-1611 Phone: 410-332-8600 Phone: 302-421-6800 Facsimile: 302-421-5872

Irving E. Walker SAUL EWING, LLP 100 S. Charles St. Baltimore, MD 20201-2773

Facsimile: 410-332-8862

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Catherine Steege, certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing Notice of Filing of

Application of Saul Ewing LLP, Counsel to the Official Committee of Conseco Trust

Originated Preferred Debt Holders, for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of

Expenses for the Period January 3, 2003 Through September 10, 2003 to be served upon the

parties listed on the attached Service List by facsimile, e-mail notice or U.S. Mail, as indicated,

on October 9, 2003 before the hour of 4:00 p.m.

Catherine Steepe

LIST	NAME	FAX	E-MAIL donaid, <u>bemstein@dpw.com</u>
Core Group	Donald S. Bernstein	212-450-3092 312-701-7711	Ttkiriakos@mayerbrownrowe.com
Core Group	Thomas S. Kiriakos Brad Eric Sheler	212-859-4000	schelbr@ffhsi.com
Core Group Core Group	David D. Cleary	312-456-8435	clearyd@gtlaw.com
Core Group	Brien Corey	651-293-5746	brian corey@conseco.com
Core Group	Rick Dykhouse	317-817-5828	rick_dykhouse@Conseco.com
Core Group	Anne Marrs Huber	312-861-2200	enne huber@chicago.kirkland.com
Core Group	Anup Sathy	312-861-2200	anup sathy@chicago.kirkland.com ames_sprayregen@chicago.kirkland.com
Core Group	James H.M. Sprayregen	312-861-2200 213-680-8500	richard wynne@la.kirkland.com
Core Group	Richard L. Wynne Harley Goldstein	312-902-1061	harley.goldstein@kmzr.com
Core Group Core Group	Catherine Steege	312-527-0484	csteeue@ienner.com
Core Group	Steven Wilamowksiy	212-728-8111	swijamowksy@willkie.com
Core Group	Roger Higgins	312-660-0528	roger higgins@chicago.klrkland.com
Core Group	Robert J. Rosenberg	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com
Core Group	Tinamarie Feil	206-374-2727	tfell@bmccorp.net
Core Group	Gretchen Silver	312-886-5794 312-886-5794	
Core Group	Richard Friedman	312-456-8435	mitchelln@gtlaw.com
Core Group	Nancy A. Mitchell Nancy A. Peterman	312-456-8435	petermann@otlaw.com
Core Group	Donald J. Detweiler	302-421-6812	ddetweller@saul.com
Core Group	Mike Stewart	612-766-1600	mstewart@faegre.com
Core Group	James Spiotto	312-701-2361	speclit@chapman.com
Core Group	Louis Delucia	609-520-0360	delucialt@blpc.com
2002 List	Faye B. Feinstein		feinsteinf@altheimer.com combestc@altheimer.com
2002 List	Christopher Combest		chris@rouplaw.com
2002 List	Southern Calif, Seconds CB Blackard Corp. Csl.		ccblac@acxiom.com
2002 List 2002 List	Frances Gecker		fgecker@freebompeters.com
2002 List 2002 List	Joseph D. Frank		frank@freebompeters.com
2002 List	E. Lee Morris		Imoms@munsch.com
2002 List	David W. Wirt		dwirt@winston.com
2002 List	Michael W. Jones		miones@winston.com
2002 List	Michael S. Stamer		<u>mstamer@akingump.com</u> jahantke@aidine.k12 <u>,tx.us</u>
2002 List	Jonathan C. Hantke		pwalters@aldine.k12.tx.us
2002 List 2002 List	Pamela H. Walters Harold L. Kaplan		hkaplan@gcd.com
2002 List 2002 List	Kenneth G. Kubes		kkubes@gcd.com
2002 List	Mark F. Hebbeln		mhebbeln@gcd.com
2002 List	R. M. Fishman		bgraham@shawqussis.com
	B, M. Graham		: f
2002 List	Jonathan D. Forstot		iforstot@tpwlaw.com lcurclo@tpwlaw.com
2002 List	Louis A. Curcio Alan Horowitz		ahorowitz@buchalter.com
2002 List 2002 List	Javier Bleichmer		avier@blbglaw.com
2002 List	Barbare L. Yong		blyong@fieldgolan.com
LUGE LIGI	Karen G. Kranbueh		
2002 List	Richard D. Holper		rholper@aol.com
2002 List	Jed A. Hart		jhart@angelogordon.com tcate0526@aol.com
2002 List	Thomas P. Cate		smarkus@ulmer.com
2002 List	Stephen A. Markus Rawley F. Krasik		rawleykrasik@hotmall.com
2002 List 2002 List	Jonathan S. Wesson		onwesson@lawyer.com
2002 List	Peggy Harriger		pharriger@alservices.com
2002 List	James E. Lobert		<u>iim@rhimw.com</u>
2002 List	James M. Carr		imcarr@bakerd.com
2002 List	Alex P. Montz		amontz@mayerbrownrowe.com
2002 List	Joseph Brown		joseph brown@bankone.com rpollock@grmsiaw.com
2002 List	Russell D. Pollock		chaugsby@goulstonstons.com
2002 List	Christian T. Haugsby Douglas B. Rosner		drosner@goulstonstons.com
2002 List 2002 List	Douglas B. Rosnei David G. Aelvoet		davida@publicans.com
2002 List 2002 List	Arik Prels		orelsar@ffhsj.com
2002 List	Brian Pfelffer		pfelfbr@ffhsi.com
2002 List	Vivek Melwani		melwavi@ffnsl.com
2002 List	Peter A. Lesser		plesser@sirlinlaw.com
2002 List	Edward A. Dion		<u>hhawn@co.broward.fl.us</u> sroyer@sta <u>te.pa.us</u>
2002 List	Sheron L. Royer		<u>sroyer@state.pa.us</u> bkonig@cumberassoc.com
2002 List	Barry Konig		<u> promijekovanio prasovojemi</u>

U.S. MAIL

LiST	NAME	FAX	E-MAIL	U.S. MAIL
2002 List	Martha E. Romero		romero@dslextreme.com	
2002 List	David A. Kilngler		klingler@sbep-law.com	
2002 List	Rex D. Rainach		rainach@msn.com	
2002 List	Brenda Adkins			Centurytel, Inc.
EUUZ LIST	Diding / William			100 Century Park Dr. Monroe, LA 71203
2002 List	Stephen P. Stapleton Marciela Sie		sstapleton@cowlesthompson.com	
2002 List	Don C. Fletcher		dfletcher@cavanaghlaw.com	
2002 List	Jeffrey R. Wilson		jeffwilson01@comcast.net	
2002 List	Ivan A. Ramos		iremos@cl.hartford.ct.us	
2002 List	Florence Johnson		fjohnson@pjsattomeys.com sdabrams@aol.com	
2002 List	Sherwin D. Abrams		daniel.wejskopf@dpw.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Daniel Weiskopf Karen Wagner		karen,wagner@dpw.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Resma Saujani		reshma.saujani@dpw.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Douglas J. Lipke		dlipke@vedderprice.com	
2002 List	Myron Trepper		mtrepper@willkie.com	
2002 List	Jeffrey A. Hokanson		jeff.hokanson@icemiller.com	
2002 List	Matthew J. Koetting		firm@oloughlinlawfirm.com	
2002 List	Charles D. Marshall		cmarshall@bsdlew.com	
2002 List	James Shedden		<u>ishedden@bsdlaw.com</u>	
2002 List	Claibourne W. Patty, Jr.		clairgpm@swbell.net	
2002 List	Josh Moss		gwashington@bsdd.com	
2002 List	Michael Weininger		mweininger@krw.com	
2002 List	Eric Henzy		ehenzy@reidandriege.com dsnell@co.st-lawrence.ny.us	
2002 List	William F. Maginn, Jr. Michael Book		mreed@mvbalaw.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Michael Reed Jeffrey H. Jordan		ijordan@cash.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Şeth Grosshandler		sgrosshandler@cgsh.com	
2002 List	Thomas Moloney		tmoloney@cash.com	
2002 List	Bill Angelowitz			Daily Insights, Inc.
	-			JAF Box 3127 New York, NY 10116
2002 List	Gini S. Marzlanl		gsmarziani@dmmlaw.com	
2002 List	Thomas V. Askounis		taskounis@askborst.com	
2002 List	Shirley Cho		shirley_cho@ny.kirkland.com sawyer.charles@dorseylaw.com	
2002 List	Charles Sawyer Monica L. Clark		clark.monica@dorseylaw.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Elizabeth Brandes		lbrandes@paradigmtulsa.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Barry D. Bayer		bayer@lawyer.com	
2002 List	Kevin B. Laughlin		klaughlin@apfirm.com	
2002 List	Peter S. Partee		ppartee@hunton.com	
	Michael G. Wilson			
2002 List	Michael L. Molinaro		mmolinaro@ngelaw.com	
2002 List	Catherine A. Cook		ccook@winston.com	
2002 List	Daniel J. McGuire		dmcquire@winston.com	
2002 List	Kimball R. Anderson		kanderson@winston.com mswan@rsolaw.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Mark S. Swan Louis L. Long, Jr.	850-222-6527	mawanggi solaw.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Kenneth Ottaviano	OOO ZZZ OOZ	kenneth.ottaviano@k <u>mzr.com</u>	
2002 List	David L. Kane		david.kane@kmzr.com	
2002 List	Carter M. Mann		mannc@foster.com	
2002 List	B. Michael		bmichael@costonlaw.com	
	P. Rademacher G. Gree			
2002 List	W. Wright Banks, Jr.		wright.banks@law.state.ga.us	
2002 List	Marc L. Fenton		marc.fenton@piperrudnick.com	
2002 List	Brian A. Audette		brian.audette@piperrudnick.com	
2002 List	Mark A. Berkoff		mark.berkoff@piperrudnick.com	
2002 List	Ken Holloway		khollowav@grantcounty-wa.com gbeam@beamlaw.net	
2002 List	Gregory B. Beam		goeam@peamiaw.net tdtrujillo@yahoo.com	
2002 List 2002 List	David Trujillo Judith Elkin		elkini@havnesboone.com	
2002 List	Howard J. Steinberg		hsteinberg@frell.com	
2002 List	Gene R. Clark		gclark@millerclarklaw.com	
2002 List	Robert Conrad	212-525-1300		
2002 List	Christena A. Lambriankos		clambrianakos@kelleydrye.com	

		m.a.u	5 MAII	U.S. MAIL
LIST	NAME	FAX	E-MAIL goodman@kellevdrye.com	Q.S. MAIL
2002 List	James A. Goodman		bhshide@us.lbm.com	
2002 List	Beverly H. Shideler		gordonbr@us.lbm.com	
2002 List	Bruce Gordon Cindy R. Johnson	760-482-4301	<u> </u>	
2002 List 2002 List	Douglas E. Miles	700-102-1001	dmlles@mileslegal.com	
2002 List	Richard J. Bauer, Jr.		rbauer@mileslegal.com	
2002 List	Timothy W. Walsh		timothy.walsh@piperrudnick.com	
2002 List	Deborah M. Gutfeld		deborah.gutfeid@piperrudnick.com	
2002 List	Rose Dominy		rdominy@ikon.com	
2002 List	Alja Bjorklund		<u>aija,e.bjorkland@lrs,qov</u>	
2002 List	Thomas E. Shuck		tshuck@lvaniack.com	
2002 List	William J. Barrett		william.barrett@bfkpn.com	
2002 List	F. Bruce Sleeper		<u>bsleeper@lbgh.com</u>	
2002 List	William R. Wanger		wwanger@frof.com dfreedman@ermanteicher.com	
2002 List	David H. Freedman		gkrojaty@aol.com	
2002 List	Patrick Clisham		UN DIRITING BUILDING	
2007 1:44	Joseph Cohen Michael C. Moody		mmoody1058@aol,com	
2002 List	Wendy J. Wasserman		wwasserman@datem.com	
2002 List 2002 List	John Weiss		ahn.weiss@lw.com	
2002 List	Mark A. Broude		mark.broude@lw.com	
2002 List	Brendan S. Beastey		brendan.beaslev@lw.com	
2002 List	Timothy A. Barnes		timothy.barnes@law.com	
2002 List	Lorl Gruver Robertson		austin_bankruptcv@publicans.com	
2002 List	Courtney B. Engelbrecht		cengelbrecht@lordbissell.com	
2002 List	Ira M. Levee		llevee@lowenstein.com	
2002 List	Michael S. Etkin		metkin@lowensteln.com	
2002 List	S. Dagnal Rowe		drowe@wilmerlee.com	
2002 List	John J. Voorhees		jvoorhees@maverbrownrowe.com mslaw@thelaw.biz	
2002 List	Martha Bronson		rhaberle@mwm-law.com	
2002 List	Jill Manuel-Coughlin Shannon de Louiz		sdelouiz@co.merced.ca.us	
2002 List	Shannon de Louiz		340103124444	
2002 List	A.E. Harlow, Jr.		rusty@harlowlawfirm.com	
2002 List	Steven A. Ginther		ndilecf@mall.dor.state.mo.us	
2002 List	Anne M. Magruder		lkouts@magruderpc.com	
	Leon Koutsouftik		-lasted (American continuos conti	
2002 List	Alan Kolod		<u>akolod@mosessinger.com</u> mparry@mos <u>essinger.com</u>	
2002 List	Mark N. Parry Lawrence S. Crowther		lscrowther@weemanlaw.com	
2002 List	Irwin B. Jacobs		pi0@mindspring.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Gary A. Şaunders		gsaunders@kslaw.com	
2002 List	Lawrence Larose		llarose@kslaw.com	
2002 List	Mitchell I. Sonkin		msonkin@kslaw.com	
2002 List	Jon Kiesel		<u>ikiesel@nyse.com</u>	
2002 List	James A. Chavez		<u>iamesc@nm.net</u>	
2002 List	Ira Bodenstein	312-886-5794		
2002 List	Gretchen Crawford		tammik@oklahomacounty.org	
2002 List	Henry A. Efroymson		henry efroymson@icemiller.com	
2002 List	Robert J. Salyers		<u>rlsalyers@ameritech.net</u> ben.caughey@icemiller.com	
2002 List	Ben T. Caughey		tom.smlth@icemiller.com	
2002 List	Thomas C. Smith Nathaniel Rayle		rayle.nathaniel@pbgc.gov	
2002 List 2002 List	Atan S. Rutkoff, P.C.		dgramlich@mwe.com	
2002 List	Alexander D. Kerr		akerr@tishlerandwaid.com	
2002 List	Terri A. Roberts		troberts@pcao.co.pima.az.us	
2002 List	Neil E. McCullagh		nmccullach@cantorarkema.com	
2002 List	Robert H. Rosenbaum		<u>emeyers@mrrlaw.net</u>	
	M. Evan Meyer			
2002 List	Kathleen R. Pasulka-Brown		kpasulka-brown@foleylaw.com	
2002 List	Therese C. King		tking@foleylaw.com	
2002 List	Michael Weinstock		michael.weinstock@quadrenglegroup.com	
2002 List	Jody H. Schwarz		<u>ischwarz@quarles.com</u> tlm@quarles. <u>com</u>	
2002 List	Thomas J. Magill		<u>um@quaries.com</u> mmoore@cowiesthompson.com	
2002 List	Maricela Siewczynski Kenneth Miller		kmiller@resllp.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Kenneth Miller James W. Rude		iim.rude@gmacfc.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Steven R. Jakubowski		sjakubowski@colemaniawfirm.com	
ZUUZ LIBI	Olovon IV. Sakabuttaki			

LIŞT	NAME	FAX	E-MAIL	U.S. MAIL
2002 List	Harry A. Light		hlight@fec.net	
2002 List	Heidi Weller		wellerh@co.rock-island.il.us	
2002 List	Daniel V. Marsalli		dmarsalli@rorlaw.com	
2002 List	Scott G. Golinkin		sgolinkin@rgrlaw.com	
2002 List	James P. Wognum		ipwcgnum@emeritech.net	
2002 List	Richard Bendix, Jr.		rbendix@scqk.com	
2002 List	Kathryn A. Pamenter		kathryn.pamenter@goldbergkohn.com	
	Erin M. Samol			
2002 List	Timothy M. Engel		tme@magt.com	
2002 List	George Vasios		vasiosa@sec.gov	
2002 List	Sonia U. Chae		chaes@sec.gov	
2002 List	John A. Şelb, Jr.		<u>jseib@seiblaw.com</u> reisenbach@cooley.com	
2002 List	Robert L. Elsenbach, III George N. Panagakis		gpanagak@skadden.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Kayalyn A. Marafloti		kmarafio@skadden.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Carole Neville		cneville@sonnenschein.com	
2002 List	Holly Falkowtiz		hfalkowitz@sonnenschein.com	
2002 List	Edward J. Peterson, III		epeterson@bradleyarant.com	
2002 List	Michael J. Darlow		mdarlow@pbfcm.com	
2002 List	Jon S. Davis		jonsdavis@stantondavis.com	
	Philip C. Silverman			
2002 List	Peggy A. Housner		housenero@michigsn.gov	
2002 List	Barbara G. Billet		iohn_miller@tax.state.nv.us	
2002 List	John P. Dillman		john.dillman@publicans.com	
2002 List	Catherine F. Ries		cathf@atg.wa.gov	
2002 List	Elizabeth Weller		dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com	
2002 List	Danlei J. Carragher		djcarragher@dbh.com	
2002 List	James T. Tancredi		<u>iitancredi@dbh.com</u>	
2002 List	Forrest B. Lammiman		flammiman@lordbissell.com	
2002 List	Jay W. Hurst		jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us	
2002 List	Robert C. Meyer		robertcmeyer@compserve.com	
2002 List	George R. Meurer		grmeurer@kmp-law.com	
2002 List	Sigifredo Perez III		sperez@kpm-law.com	
2002 List	Kristina M. Johnson		kiohnson@watkinshudlam.com	
2002 List	Karen A. Giannelli		kgiannelli@gibbonslaw.com	
2002 List	Keily Shiflett		<u>kellv.shiflett@nasdag.com</u> tpohl@skadden.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Timothy R Pohl John J. Wiles		ijwiles@evict.net	
2002 List 2002 List	Susan Culbreth		II w 11 d a first d a firs	3480 Preston Ridge Rd.
ZOOZ LIST	Susan Culbretti			Suite 575 Alpharetta, GA 30005
2002 List	Troy M. Aramburu		taramburu@alston.com	
2002 List	Daniel Murray		dmurray@jepner.com	
2002 List	irving E. Walker		iwalker@saul.com	
2002 List	Daniel J. Falstad		daniel.falstad@usbank.com	
2002 List	James Stephenson		stephenson@faegre.com	
2002 List	David B. Goroff		dgoroff@foleylaw.com	
2002 List	Ruth A. Harvey		ruth.harvev@usdoi.gov	
2002 List	Jeffrey C. Torres		itorres@mcguirewoods.com	
2002 List	Roger G. Jones		<u>riones@bccb.com</u>	
2002 List	Richardo I. Kilpatrick		rkilpatrick@keelaw.com	
2002 List	Michael B. Fisco		mfisco@faegre.com	
2002 List	Stephen M. Mertz		<u>smertz@faegre.com</u> michael.g.luger@wellsfargo.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Michael G. Luger J. Mark Fisher		mfisher@schiffhardin.com	
2002 List 2002 List	Patricia J. Emshwiller		pemshwiller@schiffhardin.com	
2002 List	John D. Schlotter		ids@mccalla.com	
EVVE EIGE	Teresa R. Stephens		track tracks and tractic	
2002 List	Roman Hirniak		himiakrb@bipc.com	
2002 List	Charles S. Riecke		criecke@sevfarth.com	
2002 List	Gus A. Paloian		gpaloian@sevfarth.com	
2002 List	Stanley S. Jutkowitz		siutkowitz@dc.sevfarth.com	
2002 List	William Factor		wfactor@sevfarth.com	
2002 List	Deborah A. Reperowitz		dreperowtiz@reedsmith.com	
2002 List	Richard P. Norton		rnorton@reedsmith.com	
				

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

OCT 0 9 2003

IN RE:	Chapter 11 KENNETH S, GARDNER, CLERK
Conseco, Inc., et al.,) Case No. 02-49672 PS REP KS) (Jointly Administered),
Debtors.) Honorable Carol A. Doyle
)

APPLICATION OF SAUL EWING LLP, COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF TRUST ORIGINATED PREFERRED DEBT HOLDERS, FOR FINAL ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 3, 2003 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 10, 2003

Saul Ewing LLP (the "Applicant" or "Saul Ewing"), counsel to the Official Committee of Trust Originated Preferred Debt Holders (the "TOPR's Committee") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, hereby applies to the Court, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §330, for final allowance of compensation for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses incurred as counsel for the TOPR's Committee, and in support hereof, respectfully represents:

Jurisdiction and Venue1

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Application under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue of this case and this Application in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A) and (B).

All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Reorganizing Debtors' Sixth Amended Plan of Reorganization Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (hereinafter the "Plan").

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are section 330 of the 2. Bankruptcy Code and Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules").

Relief Requested

- This Application is made pursuant to this Court's Amended Administrative Order 3. Establishing Procedures for Interim Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals, entered January 2, 2003 [Docket No. 284] (the "Amended Administrative Compensation Order").
- By this Application, Saul Ewing requests, pursuant to section 330 of the 4. Bankruptcy Code, final allowance of compensation in the amount of \$4,209,851.75 for services rendered, and \$460,201.99 for reimbursement of expenses incurred, in representing the TOPR's Committee during the period from January 3, 2003 through September 10, 2003 (the "Final Application Period"). This Application includes fees and expenses relating to the preparation and service of this Final Fee Application, as well as the additional costs and expenses incurred by Saul Ewing during the Fee Period which were not included in any of Saul Ewing's prior monthly fee applications, but were recorded in Saul Ewing's time and billing system after the Effective Date. A detailed summary of these additional costs and expenses is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

Introductory Statement

This was an extraordinary Chapter 11 case. The case was extraordinary, demanding 5. and difficult for the TOPR's Committee and its counsel for several reasons. It is the third largest Chapter 11 case in history; and the TOPR's Committee was entrusted with the responsibility of representing creditors holding subordinated debt claims in the amount of approximately \$2.1 billion. 2

 \mathcal{L}_{∞}

From the outset, the Debtors and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "OCUC"), which represented and was comprised of Lenders and senior bondholders, announced that the Reorganizing Debtors would seek to confirm a Chapter 11 plan that paid holders of trust originated preferred securities ("TOPR's") nothing, unless they voted to accept a plan that would allow them to share some portion of 1.25% of the new common stock with equity security holders, in a manner to be determined by the Debtors in their sole discretion. The Debtors and the OCUC made clear they would do everything they could to speed up the Chapter 11 process and get a plan confirmed as quickly as the Court would allow, with a target date for confirmation by April 2003 and emergence from bankruptcy by June 2003. The Debtors, the members of the OCUC, and their professionals, had been preparing for this Chapter 11 for months before the commencement date of these cases, and they controlled a mountain of documents containing financial and other information that was highly relevant to the many issues in the case.

6. Saul Ewing was charged with the responsibility of leading the representation of the TOPR's Committee in facing these daunting challenges. At the heart of the case was a very serious issue about the valuation of the Reorganizing Debtors. Due to the complexity of valuing insurance companies, as well as hidden issues relating to the value of the Reorganizing Debtors' net operating losses, the sophistication and expertise required to litigate the valuation issues were at the highest level imaginable in a Chapter 11 case. To add to the challenge, counsel was required to perform its work under very limited time frames, and the TOPR's Committee was the only party in the case challenging the Debtors' valuation position. Issues that in other cases might be litigated over a period of several months, if not years, were addressed in just a few months. The confirmation hearing lasted 18 trial days, over a period of approximately 6 weeks from June 13, 2003 through July 18, 2003. Even the settlement negotiations that followed the conclusion of the confirmation

hearing were difficult and complex due to the conflicting interests that needed to be satisfied among the major constituencies in the case.

- 7. Ultimately, Saul Ewing, as lead counsel for the TOPR's Committee, assisted the TOPR's Committee, working with Jenner & Block LLP and the TOPR's Committee's other professionals, to substantially enhance the TOPR's recoveries in this case. The TOPR's Committee's financial advisor has estimated the value of the TOPR's recoveries at \$175-192 million. Compared to the possibility that this Court may have overruled the TOPR's Committee's objections to confirmation, in which the event the TOPR's would have received nothing, the outcome of this case for TOPR's should be viewed as favorable in view of all of the difficult circumstances of the case.
- 8. The fees requested by Saul Ewing to achieve this result are reasonable, and the services rendered by Saul Ewing in this case were actual and necessary to the representation of the TOPR's Committee. The expenses Saul Ewing incurred in performing these services also were actual and necessary for Saul Ewing to fulfill its duties to the TOPR's Committee.

Formation Of The TOPR's Committee

9. On December 17, 2002 (the "Petition Date"), Conseco, Inc. and its affiliated debtor companies (collectively the "Debtors") commenced these bankruptcy cases by filing voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, (the "Bankruptcy Code"). The Reorganizing Debtors² continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors-in-possession through September 10, 2003, the

The Reorganizing Debtors are: (i) Conseco, Inc., (ii) CIHC, Incorporated, (iii) CTIHC, Inc., and (iv)
Partners Health Group, Inc. Hereinafter, all capitalized terms shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Plan.

Effective Date of the Plan. The Court confirmed the Finance Company Debtors' Plan of Liquidation on September 12, 2003 [Docket No. 5844].

- 10. The cases were consolidated for administrative purposes and are being jointly administered under case number 02-49672 (CAD).
- 11. On January 3, 2003 (the "Formation Date"), the Office of the United States
 Trustee appointed United Capital Markets, Oppenheimer Capital and Paul J. Floto to the TOPR's
 Committee.
- 12. The TOPR's Committee represented the interests of the TOPR's, whose claims in the aggregate approximated \$2.1 billion. The TOPR's claims were junior and subordinate to all claims for loans made by unrelated parties, which totaled approximately \$4.9 billion as of the Confirmation Date.
- 13. On the Formation Date, the TOPR's Committee selected Saul Ewing LLP ("Saul Ewing") to serve as its lead bankruptcy counsel and Jenner & Block, LLP ("Jenner & Block") to serve as its co-bankruptcy counsel.
- 14. On January 10, 2003, the TOPR's Committee filed the Application of the Official Committee of Conseco Trust Originated Preferred Debt Holders for an Order Authorizing Nunc Pro Tunc the Employment and Retention of Saul Ewing LLP as Counsel [Docket No. 356]. On January 14, 2003, this Court entered the Order Authorizing the Employment and Retention of Saul Ewing LLP as Counsel to the Official Committee of Conseco Trust Originated Preferred Debt Holders, retroactive to January 3, 2003. [Docket No. 440].

Overview of the TOPR's Debt

- 15. In November 1996, Conseco, Inc. issued a Subordinated Indenture (the "Base Indenture," as supplemented³ from time to time, the "Indenture"), which provided for the issuance of subordinated debt securities in series (the "Debentures").
- subordinated Debentures with various interest rates and due dates in six series or tranches and raised in the aggregate approximately \$2 billion. Each series of Debentures was sold to a separate Delaware business trust that raised the funds to purchase the Debentures through the issuance of preferred trust securities and common trust securities (the "trust securities"). The common trust securities were purchased by Conseco and the preferred trust securities were sold to the public in underwritten public offerings. For accounting purposes on its consolidated financial statements, Conseco reflected the trust securities as a preferred securities issue.
- 17. State Street Bank and Trust Company, as successor to Fleet National Bank, served as the Indenture Trustee of the Base Indenture and Property Trustee to the Debentures.
- 18. The characteristics of each series of debentures issued by Conseco may be summarized as follows:

Each series of debentures issued had a corresponding supplement to the Indenture.

	1	ŢĪ	HII	V	VI	VII
Date of Issue:	11/96	11/96	4/97	8/98	10/98	8/99
Interest Rate:	9.16%	8.70%	8.796%	8.70%	9,00%	9.44%
Principal Amount:	\$275 million	\$335 million	\$309 million	\$500 million	\$230 million	\$356 million
Maturity of Underlying Debenture:	11/30/26, subject to extension at election of Conseco to a date not later than 11/30/45 and subject to shortening to a date not earlier than 11/19/01	11/15/26	4/1/27	9/30/28, subject to extension at election of Conseco, to a date not later than 9/30/47 and subject to shortening to a date not earlier than 9/30/03	12/31/28, subject to extension at election of Conseco, to a date not later than 12/3/47 and subject to shortening to a date not earlier than 12/31/03	9/30/29, subject to extension at election of Conseco, to a date not later than 9/30/48 and subject to shortening to a date not earlier than 8/31/04
Interest Payable:	Quarterly	Semi-annually	Semi-annually	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly
Interest Deferral:	Up to 20 consecutive quarters at Conseco's option	Up to 10 consecutive semi-annual payments	Up to 10 consecutive semi-annual payments	Up to 20 consecutive quarters at Conseco's option	Up to 20 consecutive quarters at Conseco's option	Up to 20 consecutive quarters at Conseco's option

19. In the event of any insolvency, bankruptcy, liquidation or other similar proceeding relating to Conseco, the indebtedness evidenced by the Debentures was to be subordinate in right of payment to all indebtedness of Conseco for borrowed money. The senior indebtedness did not include any trade credit or indebtedness owed by Conseco to any subsidiary or affiliate of Conseco.

The Prepetition Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee

- 20. On or about September 5, 2002, United Capital Markets, Inc. ("United Capital") retained Saul Ewing to represent United Capital's interests in connection with the then publicly announced restructuring talks between Conseco, Inc. and its Lenders and senior bondholders.⁴
- 21. Following its initial retention by United Capital, Saul Ewing was retained by other TOPR's, including Oppenheimer Capital and Paul J. Floto, who joined United Capital and served as an informal committee of TOPR's (the "Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee") for purposes of attempting to participate in the prepetition restructuring talks between Conseco and its Lenders and senior bondholders.
- 22. On or about September 30, 2002, Saul Ewing sent a letter to Conseco's counsel, Kirkland & Ellis, requesting that the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee be recognized as an official Ad Hoc Committee in the restructuring talks.
- 23. Following several weeks of discussions, on November 5, 2003, Conseco recognized the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee as the representative of the TOPR's, and agreed to provide the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee \$500,000 for retention of financial advisors and counsel to assist in the prepetition discussions and related work. Saul Ewing served as counsel to the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee, for which it was paid compensation (including expenses) of \$200,000, while Raymond James & Associates, Inc. ("Raymond James") served as the financial advisors to the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee, for which Raymond James was paid compensation (including expenses) of \$300,000.

In August, 2002 Conseco publicly announced it was in restructuring talks with its Lenders and senior bondholders. Conseco did not include any TOPR's representatives in those restructuring talks.

- 24. The professionals to the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee immediately began to perform limited due diligence concerning Conseco's debt structure and the potential value of Conseco's Inc. insurance and non-insurance assets.
- 25. On November 26, 2002, approximately three weeks after its formation, the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee was invited to participate in a meeting with representatives of Conseco at the law offices of Kirkland & Ellis in Chicago, Illinois. Conseco's Lenders and senior bondholders, who had been participating in the restructuring talks with Conseco since August 2002, did not participate in that meeting.
- 26. At the November 26, 2002, meeting Conseco provided the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee with a proposed "term sheet" that outlined a tentative deal in principle between Conseco and its senior creditors regarding the restructuring of Conseco's multi-billion dollar debt obligations. The term sheet provided that the TOPR's and certain equity interests below the TOPR's would share in a "junior recovery" in an aggregate amount not to exceed 2.5% of the new common stock to be distributed under a plan of reorganization to be filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The distribution to be provided to the TOPR's and the equity interests below the TOPR's was subject to the TOPR's voting in favor of the proposed plan and subject to the sole discretion of reorganized Conseco as to how the junior recovery would be distributed among the TOPR's and equity interests. The Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee believed that the value of Conseco was sufficient to provide the TOPR's substantially more than what was proposed by Conseco.
- 27. Conseco did not take into account the Ad Hoc TOPR's Committee's view of the value of Conseco and its insurance subsidiaries. Instead, Conseco simply advised the Ad Hoc

TOPR's Committee at the November 26, 2002 meeting of the potential deal in principle between Conseco and the senior creditors, without any real negotiations.

- 28. Following the November 26, 2003 meeting, the *Ad Hoc* TOPR's Committee's efforts to pursue a dialogue to reach agreement on a consensual restructuring plan were not met with any response from the Debtors or the senior creditors.
- 29. On December 17, 2003, the Debtors filed their voluntary petitions for relief with this Court, announcing that they had reached a "deal in principle" with its senior creditors. The "deal in principle" provided that the TOPR's and certain equity interests junior in priority to the TOPR's would share in only 1.25% of the new common stock to be issued under a plan of reorganization. The distribution of the 1.25% equity interest was also still at the discretion of Conseco and was expressly conditioned on the TOPRs' approval of the proposed plan of reorganization.
- 30. On December 18, 2002, Saul Ewing sent a letter to the United States Trustee for Region 11, Ira Bodenstein, Esquire, requesting that a separate committee be appointed, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1102(a)(1), to represent the interests of the TOPR's.
- 31. On December 30, 2002, Saul Ewing, with its co-counsel, Jenner & Block, met with the United States Trustee and his attorneys to discuss the grounds for appointment of a separate committee for the TOPR's. Efforts were made by the Debtors and representatives of the senior creditors to persuade the United States Trustee to appoint only one creditors' committee in the Reorganizing Debtors' cases, and specifically to prevent the formation of a separate TOPRs Committee.
- 32. On January 3, 2003, the Office of the United States Trustee appointed nine members to the OCUC, all consisting of representatives of the Lenders and senior bondholders.

 10

The Office of the United States Trustee also appointed a separate TOPR's Committee. The TOPR's Committee thereafter selected Saul Ewing and Jenner & Block as its counsel.

The Retention of Financial Professionals and Preparing for a Contested Confirmation

- 33. Following the formation meeting, the TOPR's Committee was faced with the daunting and difficult task of determining and proving the value of the Reorganizing Debtors in a relatively short period of time, all while continuing to monitor, review and analyze the daily aspects of these bankruptcy cases, including but not limited to reviewing and analyzing the Debtors' proposed sale of assets, the Debtors' motions to assume or reject executory contracts, Debtors' motions to approve key employee retention programs, and the Debtors' weekly financial results.
- 34. At the very outset of these cases, the Debtors announced their intent to file a proposed Chapter 11 plan by January 31, 2003 and to emerge from bankruptcy by June 2003. As part of its strategy to rush the bankruptcy process as quickly as the Court would permit, the Debtors and the OCUC sought to impose an expedited discovery deadline and confirmation hearing date on the TOPR's Committee. The TOPR's Committee resisted the expedited discovery and trial schedule and on March 20, 2003, the Court entered a modified, but still expedited scheduling order, establishing a fact discovery deadline of April 24, 2003, an expert discovery deadline of May 22, 2003, and a confirmation hearing date of May 28, 2003.
- 35. The valuation of the Reorganizing Debtors was an extremely complex task that required highly sophisticated and specialized professionals. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors retained two separate professionals -- Lazard Freres & Co. LLC ("Lazard"), the Debtors' investment bankers; and Milliman USA, Inc. ("Milliman"), the Debtors' actuarial advisors -- to assist in the Debtors' valuation efforts. These professionals had been working with Conseco

on the proposed restructuring as early as June, 2002. Based on the Statement of Financial Affairs of Conseco, Inc., within 90 days of the Petition Date, Conseco, Inc. paid Lazard the aggregate amount of \$5,313,368.57, and paid Milliman the aggregate amount of \$1,039,213.64. Lazard and Milliman were also employed by the Debtors postpetition, and their total postpetition compensation, including "success" and "transaction" fees in these cases, exceeds \$20 million.

- 36. The TOPR's Committee, with counsel's recommendations, selected three financial firms to assist in valuing the Reorganizing Debtors' assets and fulfilling the TOPR's Committee's statutory duties in these cases: (i) Raymond James to provide general financial, valuation and restructuring advisory services; (ii) Fox-Pitt, Kelton Inc. ("Fox-Pitt, Kelton"), to provide an independent valuation of the insurance companies; and (iii) Watson Wyatt Insurance and Financial Services, Inc. an actuarial expert to provide actuarial services to the TOPR's Committee.
- 37. The Court approved the retention of the TOPR's Committee's financial and insurance professionals by an Order entered on February 19, 2003 [Docket Nos. 1408, 1412 and 1414].
- 38. As part of its valuation analysis and work, the TOPR's Committee and its professionals promptly began to review and analyze hundreds of thousands of pages of financial documents and business records and general corporate information relating to the Reorganizing Debtors and Finance Company Debtors. Many of these documents were maintained in a due diligence room at the Chicago law offices of Kirkland & Ellis. The TOPR's Committee also drafted and served comprehensive sets of interrogatories and requests for production of documents upon the Debtors.

- 39. Due to the enormous volume of documents, the Debtors also established a virtual private network, by which the TOPR's Committee and its professionals could review various documents online.
- 40. The documents produced by the Debtors and its professionals included, but were not limited to, documents relating to the Debtors' senior credit facilities; unsecured bond debt; Director & Officer Loan Program; employee retention and benefit programs; the proposed sale of the assets of the Finance Company Debtors; actuarial valuation analyses of the insurance companies, including insurance reserves, weekly sales and production data, insurance rating and insurance rating presentations; Board minutes; corporate governance documents; and prepetition and postpetition negotiations with its senior creditors.
- 41. The TOPR's Committee and its professionals also reviewed and analyzed thousands of pages of additional documents produced by the Debtors' valuation experts in connection with the proposed confirmation hearing.
- 42. As a result of the discovery process, Saul Ewing accumulated at least 200,000 pages of documents as part of its preparation for the confirmation hearing.
- 43. As part of the discovery process, Saul Ewing attended or participated in twentynine depositions, including nine expert depositions, at various locations including, Boston, Massachusetts; Indianapolis, Indiana; Chicago, Illinois; and New York City.
- 44. Based on the extensive financial and business information reviewed and analyzed by the TOPR's Committee, the TOPR's Committee submitted four separate expert reports which collectively estimated the value of the Reorganizing Debtors' to be between \$5.2 to \$5.8 billion. The Debtors, in contrast, estimated the value of the Reorganizing Debtors' at only \$3.85 billion.

- 45. The TOPR's Committee also submitted two rebuttal reports from its experts challenging the methodologies and assumptions employed by the Debtors' actuarial expert and investment bankers.
- 46. In a three-month period, Saul Ewing, working with the valuation professionals, oversaw all of the actions required by counsel to complete a comprehensive valuation of the Reorganizing Debtors.

Challenge to the Allowance of D&O Credit Facilities

- 47. A substantial portion of the Lenders' claims against the Reorganizing Debtors, approximately \$700 million, was based on the D&O Credit Facility loans made to enable the Debtors to make \$500 million of loans to directors, officers, and other senior executives plus additional loans to cover the interest obligations.
- 48. On or about March 5, 2003, the TOPR's Committee filed an objection and adversary complaint (the "Adversary Complaint") against Bank of America, N.A. and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, the Lenders' Agents, objecting to the allowance of approximately \$700 million of the Lenders' claims under the D&O Credit Facilities, and seeking equitable subordination of those claims [Docket No. 1879].
- 49. After the Lenders' Agents filed a motion to dismiss, Saul Ewing prepared and filed a memorandum of law in opposition, and took discovery in connection with the adversary proceeding.
- 50. The Court dismissed the Adversary Complaint on or about June 18, 2003. The TOPR's Committee filed an appeal of the Court's Order, and the appeal was pending at the time of the parties' settlement agreement.

Confirmation Proceedings

- 51. The Reorganizing Debtors filed their initial Chapter 11 plan on January 31, 2003. Under that plan, TOPR's would have received nothing, unless they voted as a class to accept the plan. If they voted to accept the initial plan, TOPR's would have received a share of 1.25% of the common stock of Reorganized Conseco, with the Reorganizing Debtors having sole discretion to divide the 1.25% of common stock among the TOPR's and equity security holders.
- 52. No party other than the TOPR's Committee challenged the Debtors' valuation position on which the initial plan, as well as all of the subsequent plans, was based. The Debtors and the OCUC also made it clear that they had no interest in attempting to avoid the need for a contested confirmation hearing through settlement negotiations and that this was one large Chapter 11 case where a contested confirmation hearing in all likelihood would occur.
- 53. It also was apparent that the work necessary to conduct the extensive discovery, trial preparation, and trial of the confirmation issues within the accelerated time frame imposed by the Court would require Saul Ewing to devote to this case teams of lawyers and paralegals having the necessary expertise and experience to properly represent the TOPR's very significant interests.
- 54. Accordingly, Saul Ewing formed the following teams to work on behalf of the TOPR's Committee (a) the confirmation discovery and trial team, headed by John Stoviak and Timothy Callahan; (b) the adversary proceeding team, to object the Lenders' claims, headed by Rudolph Garcia; (c) the insurance team to address the insurance industry and regulatory issues, headed by Constance Foster; and (d) the bankruptcy team, led by Irving Walker and Donald

486561,4

Detweiler, to oversee the overall representation of the TOPR's Committee and the numerous bankruptcy issues that arose in the case.

- 55. Because of the significant demands of the case, and the accelerated schedules for confirmation proceeding, a number of the lawyers assigned to this case were required to rearrange their responsibilities in other cases to make themselves available to do what was required here.
- 56. On June 5, 2003, the TOPR's Committee filed its objection to the Reorganizing Debtors' Proposed Second Amended Plan of Reorganization [Docket No. 3767]. The TOPR's Committee objected to the Second Amended Plan on several grounds, including the plan's failure to comply with the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §1129(a); the plan provided for nonconsensual releases in violation of applicable law; the plan violated the best interest of creditors test under §1129(a)(7); and the plan was not fair and equitable as required by §1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 57. The Office of the United States Trustee, the Securities and Exchange Commission and several other creditors also filed objections to the Second Amended Plan. Many of the objections were resolved prior to the start of the Confirmation Hearing. However, the TOPR's Committee's objections were not resolved.
- 58. The confirmation hearing began on June 13, 2003 and ended on July 18, 2003, lasting approximately 18 trial days. The trial was complex and difficult for all of the participants, and required Saul Ewing to devote substantial resources to perform the work required for trial preparation before and during the confirmation hearing, including reviewing transcripts, preparing cross-examinations, and researching issues that arose during trial.

- 59. Following the confirmation hearing, the TOPR's Committee submitted its Post-Trial Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Confirmation of the Holding Company Debtors Fourth Amended Plan of Reorganization [Docket No. 5040].
- 60. The Debtors subsequently amended their proposed plan three additional times, with the Sixth Amended Plan, based on the settlement agreement with the TOPR's Committee, being ultimately confirmed by the Court on September 9, 2003.
- 61. Saul Ewing believes that its representation of the TOPR's Committee during the confirmation hearing was effective, and that its presentation of the TOPR's Committee's case in opposition to confirmation was well executed and reflected the intense and thorough preparation that a case of this significance deserves and requires.

The TOPR's Settlement Agreement

- 62. After the submission of all post-trial memoranda, representatives of the OCUC initiated a dialogue with the TOPR's Committee about a possible settlement, which led to an all-day settlement meeting in New York City, attended by counsel for the OCUC and the TOPR's Committee and members of each of the committees. After follow-up discussions, the parties agreed on the principal terms of a settlement.
- 63. The matter was set to be decided by the Court when the Debtors announced the settlement agreement with the TOPR's Committee and the OCUC (the "TOPR's Settlement Agreement").
- 64. Even after the parties announced the TOPR's Settlement Agreement, difficult issues remained unresolved. Counsel for the Debtors, the OCUC and the TOPR's Committee worked diligently to address the settlement issues and eventually agreed on mutually

acceptable documents confirming the settlement terms, and procedures for the implementation of the settlement.

- 65. The Court approved the TOPR's Settlement Agreement on September 9, 2003.
- 66. Under the Settlement Agreement the TOPR's are to receive:
 - (a) New CNC Stock 1.5% of the New CNC Common Stock of reorganized Conseco, Inc.;
 - (b) Net D&O Litigation Proceeds 45% of the net recoveries, up to a maximum of \$30 million, from the Reorganizing Debtors' collections of the amounts owed by former officers and directors under the D&O Credit Facility; and
 - (c) New CNC Warrants warrants to purchase 6% of the New CNC Common Stock of reorganized Conseco, Inc., at an exercise price based on an enterprise valuation of \$ 4.9 billion, and a term of 5 years after the Effective Date.
- 67. The TOPR's recoveries under the Sixth Amended Plan, assuming the Debtors' enterprise valuation of \$3.8 billion, have an estimated present value of \$73 to \$85 million; assuming an enterprise valuation of \$5.2 billion, the TOPR's recoveries have an estimated present value of \$175 to \$192 million according to Raymond James.

Detailed Summaries of the Work Performed and Prior Monthly Fee Applications

- 68. Attached as Exhibit "A-1" through "A-3" are detailed summaries of the:
- (i) services performed by Saul Ewing by project category (Exhibit "A-1"), (ii) the expenses incurred by Saul Ewing on behalf of the TOPR's Committee (Exhibit "A-2"), and (iii) the hours worked by timekeeper during the Final Application Period.
- 69. Attached hereto as Exhibits "B-1" through "B-8" are the detailed monthly fee applications (the "Monthly Fee Applications") and accompanying monthly invoices submitted by

Saul Ewing in this case. No objections were made to any of the Monthly Fee Applications submitted by Saul Ewing to the Court. Pursuant to the Court's Amended Administrative Procedures and Compensation Order, Saul Ewing has been paid 90% of all fees and 100% of all expenses requested in its First through Sixth Monthly Fee Applications. Saul Ewing has yet to be receive any payment for the fees and expenses requested in its Seventh Monthly Fee Application. The objection deadline has not yet expired with respect to Saul Ewing's Eighth Monthly Fee Application. All of Saul Ewing's Monthly Fee Applications comply with the local rules of this Court in that each time entry contains a separate time allotment, a description of the type of activity and the subject matter of the activity; all time is billed in increments of one-tenth of an hour; the time entries are presented chronologically in categories; and all meetings or hearings are individually identified.

- 70. Attached hereto as Exhibits "C-1" through "C-17" are detailed itemizations, by project category, of all services performed by Applicant during the Final Application Period.
 - 71. Non-working travel time is billed at 50% of Saul Ewing's normal hourly rates.
- 72. Saul Ewing has divided its time into the following project categories, which reflect the firm's principal activities in this case:

A) Case Administration (468.5 hours; \$85,390.00 fees)

This category includes legal services not covered by other matters, and which concern Saul Ewing's general administration of the case as lead counsel for the TOPR's Committee, including: (i) administering, managing and coordinating the representation of the TOPR's Committee; (ii) reviewing all pleadings, motions and other documents filed with the Court; (iii) scheduling of hearings and critical dates; (iv) filing and service of pleadings, preparing affidavits; (v) updating and monitoring the Court's docket; and (vi) conferring with co-counsel

and other parties-in-interest regarding various case administration and case management matters, as well as other administrative tasks.

B) Asset Sales, Use and Lease of Property (92.6 hours; \$23,742.00 fees)

This category includes legal services related to the use, sale and lease of certain of the Debtors' property and assets, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing and drafting various pleadings relating to the Debtors' sale of Conseco Finance Corporation and its affiliates; (ii) reviewing, analyzing and objecting to Debtors' motion to approve Key Employee Retention Plan and Senior Management Key Employee Retention Plan;

(iii) reviewing, analyzing and drafting various pleadings relating to any motions to assume or reject executory contracts and/or unexpired leases that have been filed in these cases; and (iv) reviewing and analyzing Debtors' Motion to sell certain stock of a non-debtor subsidiary and the indemnification and tax issues relating thereto.

C) Avoidance Actions and Adversaries (43.8 hours; \$6,333.00 fees)

This category includes legal services related to the various avoidance actions and/or adversary matters filed in these cases, including: (i) reviewing and analyzing, the following adversary actions: Conseco, Inc. v. Porter; Conseco, Inc. v. Royal Insurance Company of America; Textron Financial Corporation v. Conseco, Inc.; Peter W. Nauert v. CICH, Inc.; Conseco, Inc. v. Trump; Anchorage Police and Fire Retirement Systems, et al. v. Conseco, Inc., et al.;

Matrix Asset Management Corporation v. Conseco Finance Servicing Corp.; and IndyMac Bank v. Conseco, Inc; (ii) conferring with counsel and the TOPR's Committee regarding the various adversary proceedings filed in these cases; and (iii) summarizing various adversary pleadings for the TOPR's Committee and conferring with the TOPRs Committee about such adversary proceedings.

D) <u>Claims Analysis and Objections</u> (49.5 hours; \$11,364.50 fees)

This category includes legal services related to various claims matters, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing and investigating various claim-related issues; (ii) investigating the Indenture Trustee's duty to file a proof of claim on behalf of the TOPRs; (iii) investigating and analyzing the Indenture Trustee's voting rights on behalf of the TOPR's; (iv) reviewing and responding to Debtors' proposed stipulation with the Lenders' Agents allowing the Lenders to file a single proof of claim; (v) researching, analyzing, reviewing and summarizing the various claims objections filed by the Debtors in these cases; and (vi) reviewing various proofs of claims filed in these cases.

E) Committee Matters (230.3 hours; \$69,166.50 fees)

This category includes legal services related to TOPR's Committee matters, including: (i) preparing for and attending TOPR's Committee meetings; (ii) reviewing, analyzing, drafting and investigating various case issues with the TOPR's Committee; (iii) drafting of the minutes of Committee meetings; (iv) preparing various memoranda for the Committee, and responding to Committee

inquiries or requests; including alternative plan proposals and strategies; and (v) conferring with the TOPR's Committee about various settlement strategies and alternatives.

F) <u>Creditor Inquiries</u> (120.4 hours; \$21,223.50 fees)

This category includes legal services related to responding to inquiries from TOPR's, including: (i) responding to various creditor inquiries regarding the status of the Debtors' bankruptcy cases and the various administrative dates set by the Court in these cases; (ii) responding to creditor inquiries regarding the proposed treatment of the TOPRs' claims under Debtors' proposed plans of reorganization; (iii) responding to numerous creditor inquiries regarding the TOPR's voting rights and solicitation materials sent by the Debtors; and (iv) responding to creditor inquiries regarding the TOPR's Committee's Settlement Agreement and the opt-out provisions of the Settlement Agreement, under which TOPR's were given a choice between receiving a distribution under the Settlement Agreement and retaining rights to sue non-debtor third parties.

G) <u>Document Review and Discovery</u> (2,502.4 hours; \$604,765.50 fees)

This category includes legal services related to extensive document review and discovery relating to the confirmation proceedings, including (i) preparing discovery requests related to the valuation of the Debtors' assets and the other confirmation issues; (ii) responding to discovery served by the Debtors on the TOPR's Committee, (iii) reviewing and analyzing the voluminous documents produced by the Debtors in connection with the TOPR's Committee's discovery

requests; (iv) reviewing and analyzing documents in preparation for the depositions of Debtors' fact and expert witnesses; (v) reviewing and analyzing the documents produced on the Debtors' virtual private network; (vi) conferring with Debtors' counsel on discovery disputes, discovery scheduling and discovery timelines; and (vii) attending and taking various discovery depositions in these cases.

H) <u>Executory Contracts & Unexpired Leases</u> (11.3 hours; \$3,223.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the Debtors' assumption or rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing and/or responding to the Debtors' motions seeking approval of a Key Employee Retention Plan and Senior Employee Retention Plan [delete from here or Part B]; (ii) reviewing, analyzing and summarizing the various motions to assume or reject executory contracts and unexpired leases; (iii) reviewing, analyzing and responding to Debtors' motion to assume the employment agreement of Maxwell Bublitz; and (iv) reviewing, analyzing and responding to assumption of the employment agreement of Gary C. Wendt.

I) <u>Fee Application & Fee Matters</u> (295.9 hours; \$47,830.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the preparation of the fee applications of the professionals to the TOPR's Committee, including: (i) preparing, reviewing and/or analyzing the fee applications of the professionals retained by the TOPR's Committee, including Saul Ewing; and (ii) reviewing and

analyzing the fee applications of the various professionals retained by the Debtors and the other official committees in these cases;

J) <u>Insurance Regulation & Insurance Company Issues</u> (103.2 hours; \$33,509.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to investigating and evaluating insurance regulatory issues relating to the Debtors' insurance subsidiaries, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing, researching and investigating the various regulatory and financial issues facing the Debtors' insurance subsidiaries; (ii) reviewing and analyzing the agreements and consent orders entered into by the Debtors with the state insurance regulators; (iii) reviewing and analyzing the state regulatory public statements on the Conseco bankruptcy cases; and (iv) reviewing, analyzing and responding to the pleadings and/or statements filed by the Debtors' insurance regulators in these cases.

K) Bank and Bond Debt (282 hours; \$74,370.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the prepetition loan agreements with the Lenders and Senior Bondholders, including, but not limited to, (i) researching, analyzing and investigating the prepetition claims of the Debtors' Lenders and senior bondholders; (ii) researching and analyzing the validity and seniority of the senior creditors' claims, and drafting a memorandum to the TOPR's Committee regarding the Debtors' debt structure and potential issues relating to the priority of various claims; (iii) reviewing and analyzing the Lenders' loan documents and claims; and (iv) summarizing the salient terms of the Lenders' loan documents; (v) reviewing the various legal opinions issued by

Debtors' prior counsel concerning the nature, extent and validity of the D&O Credit Facilities; (vi) researching and analyzing various legal theories concerning the validity and allowability of the Lenders' claims and Bondholder claims; (vii) drafting discovery requests regarding the Lenders' loans; (viii) researching state law issues, including Indiana and Delaware statutes and case law, concerning the Lenders' loans; and (ix) researching, analyzing and investigating the impact of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act on the Lenders' loans and proposed forgiveness of debt of directors and officers under the D&O Credit Facilities.

[.) <u>Director & Officer Loan Program</u> (682.8 hours; \$197,906.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the Debtors' ill-advised D&O loan program, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing and investigating the Debtors' guarantee of the various prepetition loans of its directors and officers as part of the D&O loan program; (ii) reviewing, and analyzing the various loan documents relating to the D&O loan program; (iii) summarizing the various loan transactions and loan agreements relating to the D&O loan program; (iv) researching and analyzing the potential legal theories in support of a challenge to the validity and priority of the D&O Credit Facilities and (v) reviewing and analyzing various federal banking laws and regulations, including Federal Regulation U and Regulation T, to determine the legality and enforceability of the Lenders' claims under the D&O Loan Program; and (vi) conferring with the TOPRs Committee about the Debtors' D&O Loan Program.

25

M) <u>Litigation, Including Stay Relief</u> (84.5 hours; \$22,440.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to motions for relief from the automatic stay and other litigation commenced by or against the Debtors, including: (i) the Debtors' litigation with Donald Trump over the ownership of the GM Building; and (ii) the Debtors' motions to approve various settlement agreements.

N) <u>Working and Non-Working Travel</u> (713 hours; \$104,592.75 fees)

This category includes time spent traveling to and from court hearings and/or committee meetings or conferences. Non-working travel time has been billed at 50% of Saul Ewing normal hourly rates.

O) <u>Plan and Disclosure Statement</u> (2,288.4 hours; \$642,635.50 fces)

This category includes legal services relating to the various plans and disclosure statements filed by the Reorganizing and Finance Company Debtors, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing and responding to the Reorganizing Debtors' First through Sixth Amended Plans of Reorganization; (ii) reviewing, analyzing and responding to the Finance Company Debtors' proposed Liquidating Plans; (iii) reviewing and analyzing the exhibits and plan supplements attached to or accompanying the proposed plans and disclosure statements; (iv) analyzing and responding to the voting and solicitation procedures proposed by the Debtors; (v) drafting the TOPR's Committee's objections to the proposed disclosure

statements and plans; (vi) drafting proposed voting and solicitation procedures; (vii) conferring and meeting with Debtors' counsel regarding the various issues relating to the proposed disclosures statements and plans; (viii) responding to various requests for plan-related documents, (ix) researching and evaluating grounds for objections to the proposed disclosure statements and plans, (x) researching the legal issues presented by the proposed disclosure statements and plans; (xi) conferring with co-counsel and the TOPR's Committee regarding the proposed disclosure statements, plans, and related issues; (xii) analyzing the proposed voting and solicitation procedures relating to Debtors' proposed plans; (xiii) drafting objections to Debtors' proposed disclosure statements and plans; (xiv) responding to inquiries by TOPR's relating to the proposed disclosure statements and plans; (xiv) responding to inquiries by TOPR's relating to the proposed disclosure statements and plans; (xv) preparing for, and attending the hearing on approval of the Debtors' proposed disclosure statements; (xvi) preparation for and trial of the Plan confirmation issues; and (xvii) drafting a post-trial memorandum of law in opposition to the Reorganizing Debtors' Second Amended Plan.

P) <u>Preparation for and Attendance at Hearings</u> (574.1 hours; \$188,107.00 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the time spent preparing for, or attending, various hearings before the Court, including: (i) reviewing documents and agendas for the hearings; (ii) preparing for oral arguments or presentations to be made to the Court; (iii) preparing witnesses for hearings before the court; and (iv) attending various hearings in these cases;

Q) Retention Matters (147.8 hours; \$42,132.00 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the retention of professionals including: (i) preparing, reviewing, filing and presenting the various retention applications of the professionals to the TOPR's Committee; (ii) reviewing, analyzing and/or responding to the retention applications of Debtors' professionals or the professionals retained by the other statutory committees in these cases. This category also includes time spent communicating with counsel regarding objections to certain retention motions, and resolving issues relative to those matters.

R) Statement & Schedules (13.1 hours; \$3,475.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the review of the Debtors' schedules, statements of financial affairs, and monthly operating reports filed by the Debtors in these cases.

S) <u>Valuation Analysis & Related Litigation</u> (5,408.8 hours; \$1,513,073.00 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the valuation of the Reorganizing Debtors' assets and the contested confirmation hearing, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing and investigating the enterprise and liquidation value of the Reorganizing Debtors; (ii) reviewing and analyzing the reports of the Debtors' experts; (iii) conferring with co-counsel and the professionals to the TOPR's Committee regarding the Debtors' valuation analyses and expert reports; (iv) researching valuation issues; (v) drafting discovery related to the valuation of the

Reorganizing Debtors' assets; (vi) and conferring with the TOPR's Committee and its professionals regarding the trial and valuation issues.

T) <u>Bank Litigation Claims</u> (1,689.4 hours; \$506,991.50 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the Adversary Complaint filed by the TOPR's Committee against Bank of America, N.A. and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, including: (i) reviewing, analyzing and investigating the various derivative lawsuits and/or claims filed against the Debtors and the Debtors' directors and officers, including analysis of the various legal claims and theories asserted against the Debtors and the Debtors' directors and officers; (ii) drafting the Adversary Complaint against the Lenders' Agents; (iii) drafting, serving and/or responding to various discovery or document requests filed by the parties in the adversary action and taking depositions in connection with the adversary proceeding; (iv) reviewing and analyzing Federal Regulation U and Regulation T and other banking and securities laws to determine the legality and enforceability of the Lenders' claims under the D&O Credit Facilities; and (v) conferring with opposing counsel and the TOPR's Committee regarding the Adversary Complaint.

U) <u>Cash Collateral and DIP Financing</u> (22.3 hours; \$7,249.00 fees)

This category includes legal services relating to the Finance Company Debtors' motion for debtor-in-possession financing, including reviewing the relevant loan agreements and proposed financing documents.

Expenses

- by Saul Ewing Applicant in the performance of services rendered on behalf of the TOPR's Committee. These costs for which reimbursement is requested total \$460,201.99, including an explanation of charges that are based strictly on the actual costs to Applicant. Applicant does not seek reimbursement of any expenses for facsimile transmissions. The detail supporting the expenses and costs actually and necessarily incurred by Saul Ewing is attached to Saul Ewing's prior Monthly Fee Applications, Exhibits B-1 through B-8. This amount includes \$750.00 for the estimated costs incurred in connection with this Final Application as well as \$28,330.05 in additional costs and expenses relating to the actual and necessary costs and expenses incurred by Saul Ewing during the Fee Period which were not included in any of Saul Ewing's prior monthly fee applications, but were recorded in Saul Ewing's time and billing system after the Effective Date. A detailed summary of these additional costs and expenses is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 74. In accordance with this Court's Amended Administrative Compensation Order, Saul Ewing is submitting this final fee application to the Debtors and all Notice parties identified in the Amended Administrative Compensation Order.
- 75. This Application seeks final allowance of the compensation requested, as well as payment by the Reorganized Debtors of the amounts actually allowed and not previously paid to Saul Ewing.

Disclosures Pursuant To Fed. Bankr. R. 2016

- 76. As stated in the Affidavit of Donald J. Detweiler, Esquire attached hereto as Exhibit "D":
 - All of the services for which compensation is sought by Saul Ewing were performed for and on behalf of the TOPR's Committee and not on behalf of any other person or entity.
 - No agreement or understanding exists between Saul Ewing and any other entity
 for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for services rendered in
 or in connection with these cases.
 - The Final Fee Application complies with the mandatory guidelines set forth in the Amended Administrative Procedures and Compensation Order dated January 2, 2003.
 - The fees and disbursements sought in the Final Fee Application fall within the guidelines of the Amended Administrative Procedures and Compensation Order.
 - The fees and disbursements sought in the Final Fee Application are billed in accordance with the practices customarily employed by Saul Ewing and generally accepted by Saul Ewing clients for similar cases and matters.
 - In seeking reimbursement of any expenses, Saul Ewing does not include in the amount for which reimbursement is sought the amortization of the cost of any investment, equipment or capital outlay. In addition, in seeking reimbursement of any expenses incurred by Saul Ewing use of a third party vendor, Saul Ewing seeks reimbursement only of the amount paid or allocated by Saul Ewing to such vendor.

WHEREFORE, Saul Ewing LLP respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order:

- (i) granting the Application and finally allowing under 11 U.S.C. § 330, compensation in the amount of \$4,209,851.70 for professional services rendered by Saul Ewing LLP for the period from January 3, 2003 through and including September 10, 2003, including \$15,000.00 for fees incurred in preparing this Final Application;
- (ii) granting the Application and finally allowing the reimbursement of actual and necessary costs and expenses incurred by Saul Ewing LLP in the amount of \$460,201.99 for the period from January 3, 2003 through and including September 10, 2003, including \$750.00 for the estimated costs incurred in connection with this Final Application and the expenses identified on Exhibit E;
- (iii) directing the Reorganized Debtors to pay to Saul Ewing the sum of \$960,250.57, which constitutes the difference between the total amount of compensation requested for services rendered in this Final Application (\$4,209,851.75) and the amounts previously paid to date by the Debtors (\$3,249,601.18);
- (iv) directing the Reorganized Debtors to pay to Saul Ewing the sum of \$160,323.31 in unpaid actual and necessary expenses and costs incurred by Saul Ewing in these cases, which constitutes the difference between the total amount of expense reimbursement requested (\$460,201.99) and the amounts previously paid to date by the Debtors for expense reimbursement (\$299,878.68); and
 - (v) granting Saul Ewing such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and just.

Dated: October 9, 2003

SAUL EWING I

Donald J. Detwei e (3087)

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1200

Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 421-6800 Facsimile: (302) 421-6813

and

Irving E. Walker 100 S. Charles Street, 15th Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Telephone: (410) 332-8672 Facsimile: (410) 332-8107

Counsel for the Official Committee of Trust Originated Preferred Debt Holders

486561.4

Exhibit A-1

FEES BY PROJECT CATEGORY

For the Period From January 3, 2003 through September 10, 2003

Project Category	Total Hours	Total Fees	
General Case Administration	468.5	\$85,390.00	
Asset Sales, Use, and Lease of Property	92.6	\$23,742.00	
Avoidance Actions and Adversaries	43.8	\$6,333.00	
Bank and Bond Debt	282	\$74,370.50	
Bank Litigation and Insurance Claims	1689.4	\$506,991.50	
Cash Collateral and DIP Financing	22.3	\$7,249.00	
Claims Analysis and Objections	49.5	\$11,364.50	
Committee Matters	230.3	\$69,166.50	
Creditor Inquiries	120.4	\$21,223.50	
Directors and Officers Claims	682.8	\$197,906.50	
Document Review and Discovery Disputes	2502.4	\$604,765.50	
Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases	11.3	\$3,223.50	
Fee Application and Related Matters	295.9	\$47,830.50	
Insurance Claims and Coverage, Insurance Regulation and Insurance Company Issues	103.2	\$33,509.50	
Litigation, Including Stay Relief	84.5	\$22,440.50	
Plan and Disclosure Statement	2288.4	\$642,635.50	
Preparation for and Attendance at Hearings	574.1	\$188,107.00	
Retention Matters	147.8	\$42,132.00	

Blended Rate	\$266.01	
Totals	15825.7	\$4,209,851.75
Working and Non Working Travel	713.3	\$104,592.75
Valuation Analysis and Related Litigation	5408.8	\$1,513,073.00
UST Reports and Meetings	1.0	\$276.00
Statements and Schedules	13.1	\$3,475.50
Secured Creditor Matters	.3	\$53.50

^{*} Although the Applicant has made every effort to place each individual time entry into the proper category, as described herein, certain of its services could reasonably be placed into more than one category. In such instances, the Applicant has endeavored to place the time entry at issue into the category which it believes is most appropriate.

Exhibit A-2

EXPENSE SUMMARY

For the Period From January 3, 2003 through September 10 2003

Expense Category	Service Provider (if applicable)	Total Expenses	
Photocopying (458845 pages @ \$.10/page)		\$45,884.50	
Telephone		\$5,475.95	
Mobile Telephone		\$460.50	
Telecopier		\$250.95	
Postage		\$54.20	
Cab Fare and Other		\$5,361.10	
Transportation Expenses		,	
Hotel		\$23,653.43	
Airfare and Related Expenses		\$66,303.78	
Amtrak and Related Expenses		\$3,930.00	
Mileage, Parking & Tolls		\$6,347.94	
Information Services	Dow Jones Tradeline	\$18.25	
Filing Fees	Pro Hac Vice filing fees	\$255.00	
	paid to USDC for the		
	Northern District of		
	Illinois		
Travel Expenses		\$5,328.40	
Supplies	Tabs, Binders, Postage	\$6 94.45	
Overtime	·	\$37,032.05	
Overnight Courier	Federal Express	\$13,164.99	
Local Courier Service	Tri-State Courier;	\$2,338.25	
	Parcels, Inc.; and Instant		
	Courier Service		
Teleconference Services	Genysys	\$5,465.51	
Search Fees and Certified	PACER; and CSC	\$1,525.91	
Copies	Networks		
Working Meals		\$11,050.74	
Tips		\$174.00	
Outsourced Photocopying	Digital Legal Services;	\$62,063.66	
	Reliable Imaging		
	Services; Bankcard		
	Services; and Pitney		
	Bowes		

Professional Services	Vincent Varallo Associates	\$1,839.55
Computer Assisted Legal	Lexis, Westlaw; CSC	\$68,284.95
Research	Networks; and ATA	Φ00,40 1 ,23
Research	Corporate Services;	
	Ddialog	
Court Reporter Service	Esquire Deposition	\$36,534.04
Court Reporter Dervice	Services; Vaughn Legal	Ψυο,υυτ.οτ
	Video; Mehler &	
	Hagerstrom; and	
	Legalink	
Subpoena Costs	The gardine	\$300.00
Transcript Services	Victoria Court Reporting	\$7,048.68
.	Services; IKON Office	
	Solutions	
Blow Back of CD Rom	Reliable Imaging	\$10,041.44
Documents & Related	Services	
Documents	1	
Other Expenses and Costs	On-line computer access	\$10,236.72
	with Financial Global	
	Access; business center	
	expenses incurred at	
	hotels; court reporter	
	and deposition services;	
	charges incurred with	
	CD ROM production;	
	transportation costs not	
	separately recorded	
	above; out-of-town	
	telephone use and	
	charges, miscellaneous	
	food and beverage	
	charges	### ### ### ###
Expenses Identified on		\$28,333.05
Exhibit E		0000
Est. Final Fee Application Exp.		\$750.00
Total		\$460,201.99

Exhibit A-3

TIMEKEEPER SUMMARY For the Period From January 3, 2003 through September 10, 2003

Name of Professional Person	Date of Bar Admission	Position with the Applicant and number of years in that position	Hourly Billing Rate (including changes)	Total Billed Hours	Total Compensation
John F. Stoviak	1976	Partner (since 1987)	\$505.00	""	
Norman L. Pernick	1984	Partner (since1996)	\$450.00		
Timothy E. Hoeffner	1987	Partner (since 2003)	\$450.00		
Constance B. Foster	1975	Partner (since 1992)	\$425.00		
Rudolph Garcia	1977	Partner (since 1984)	\$400.00		
Charles C. Zall	1969	Partner (since 1987)	\$395.00	1 1001	
William W. Uchimoto	1981	Partner (since 2003)	\$395.00		
Harry D. Shapiro	1964	Partner (since 1987)	\$385.00		
Daniel R. Chemers	1970	Partner (since1984)	\$375.00		
David S. Antzis	1978	Partner (since 1985)	\$375.00		
Timothy W. Callahan II	1980	Partner (since 1987)	\$375.00		
Stanley J. Kull	1981	Partner (since1995)	\$375.00		
Mark Minuti	1988	Partner (since 1997)	\$365.00		
Linda S. Kaiser	1981	Partner (since 2000)	\$360.00		
Irving E. Walker	1978	Partner (since 2003)	\$360.00 \$350.00		
Robert M. Greenbaum	1987	Partner (since 1999)	\$345.00	at	
Adam H. Isenberg	1988	Partner (since 1999)	\$345.00		

Joyce A. Kuhns	1984	Partner	\$335.00	
		(since 1985)	\$325.00	······································
John B. Lampi	1972	Partner (since 1998)	\$320.00	
Barrett W. Freedlander	1965	Partner (since 1988)	\$330.00	
Abbc A. Miller	1989	Special Counsel (since 2001	\$315.00	
Philip W. Fisher	1989	Special Counsel (since 2000)	\$310.00	
Jay A. Shulman	1977	Special Counsel	\$310.00	
		(since 2001)	\$300.00	
Patricia A. Gritzan	1994	Partner (since 2003)	\$300.00	
Donald J. Detweiler	1992	Special Counsel	\$285.00	
		(since 2000)	\$275.00	
Eric G. Orlinsky	1992	Partner (since 2001)	\$280.00	
Daniel A. Friedman	1994	Associate (since 2002)	\$270.00	
Tara L. Lattomus	1996	Associate	\$270.00	
		(since 2000)	\$260.00	
Katayun I. Jaffari	1996	Associate (since 1996)	\$265.00	
Patrick T. Beaty	1979	Special Counsel (since 1994)	\$260.00	
Jeremy Ryan	1997	Associate	\$260,00	
		(since 1999)	\$250.00	
Maryjo Bellew	1997	Associate	\$260.00	
		(since 2001)	\$250.00	"
Joseph C. Monahan	2001	Associate (since 2001)	\$250.00	
Jeffrey M. Viola	1993	Associate (since 1998)	\$250.00	
Frances R. Roggenbaum	1993	Associate (since 2001)	\$250.00	
Michael F. Consedine	1995	Associate (since 1999)	\$240.00	
Risa B. Greene	1995	Associate (since 1997)	\$240.00	
David L. Hackett	1997	Associate (since 1999)	\$215.00	

Patrick J. Reilly	Pending	Law Clerk (since 2002)	\$150.00	
Donna L. Brown	N/A	Litigation Support Specialist (since 1986)	\$150.00	
Susan M. Metrow	N/A	Paralegal (since 1975)	\$145.00	
Sarah J. Niedermayer	N/A	Paralegal (since 1998)	\$140.00	
Betsy F. Liberato	N/A	Paralegal (since 1987)	\$135.00	
Elizabeth A. Creel	N/A	Paralegal (since 1997)	\$135.00	
Lucy H. Lane	N/A	Paralegal (since 1984)	\$135.00	
Diane B. Anderson	N/A	Paralegal (since 2003)	\$135.00	
Monica A. Molitor	N/A	Paralegal	\$135.00	1 111111
		(since 2002)	\$130.00	
Mhkeeba Pate	N/A	Paralegal (since 2002)	\$130.00	
Larry K. Miller	N/A	Paralegal (since 1993)	\$130.00	
William R. Diefenbach, Jr. RD	N/A	Paralegal (since 1999)	\$125.00	
Annette Gilbert	N/A	Paralegal (since 1996)	\$125.00	
Sarah B. Cates	N/A	Paralegal (since 2003)	\$125.00	
Pamela Prater	N/A	Paralegal (since 2001)	\$125.00	
L. Scott Sherman	N/A	Paralegal (since2003)	\$120.00	
Justin S. Moriconi	N/A	Paralegal (since 2001)	\$120.00	
Margaret S. Coleman	N/A	Paralegal (since 1998)	\$115.00	
Michael H. Lubitz	N/A	Paralegal (since 1998)	\$110.00	
Judith W. Abriss	N/A	Library Director (since 1978)	\$110.00	
Margarita Rasing	N/A	Litigation Clerk (since 1998)	\$65.00	

Veronica Parker	N/A	Case Management Assistant (since 2001)	\$65.00		
Dylan F. Carson	N/A	Project Assistant (since 2001)	\$50.00		
Terrence D. Pratt	N/A	Project Assistant (since 2001)	\$50.00		
Totals				15825.7	\$4,209,851.75
Non Working Travel Reduction 50%)**					
Adjusted Totals			· 		

Blended Rate \$266.01

- * This rate is Saul Ewing LLP's regular hourly rate for legal services. All hourly rates are adjusted by Saul Ewing LLP on a periodic basis (the last such adjustments occurred on July 1, 2003 with respect to the Bankruptcy and Reorganization Department, and on January 1, 2003 with respect to all other firm departments). A brief biographical description of each attorney timekeeper is attached.
- ** This amount represents the 50% reduction in the fees charged for Working and Non Working Travel time. See Compensation by Project Category for amount of Working and Non Working Travel.
- *** Applicant is still reconciling total billed hours and total compensation of each timekeeper to ensure the accuracy of time entered against total project category hours and requisite compensation. Applicant will supplement this timekeeper Exhibit A-3 upon said reconciliation.

Attorney Biographies

Irving E. Walker. Partner. Mr. Walker is a member of the Bankruptcy and Reorganization Department. He concentrates his practice in bankruptcy and litigation arising out of insolvent businesses.

For over twenty years, Mr. Walker has represented debtors, creditors' committees, trustees, and other interested parties in bankruptcy cases, out-of-court workouts, and litigation relating to a troubled or failed business. His experience includes representing buyers and sellers of assets in, and outside of, bankruptcy cases, negotiating complex loan restructurings, formulating creative workout strategies, and representing plaintiffs and defendants in significant litigation involving issues of commercial law, fraud, and bankruptcy. Mr. Walker's litigation experience includes precedent-setting cases at the trial and appellate court levels, including the U.S. Supreme Court, where he won a unanimous decision in the case of <u>Citizens Bank of Maryland v. Strumpf</u> in 1995.

Mr. Walker is included in "Who's Who in American Law" (Marquis) and has been named as one of the "Best Lawyers in America" since 1999. Mr. Walker also is one of the select few living lawyers featured in the book "A Century of Striving for Justice: The Maryland State Bar Association 1896-1996", authored by The Honorable James F. Schneider.

Mr. Walker is a member of the American Bar Association, Maryland State Association, and American Bankruptcy Institute, and is a Fellow of the Maryland Bar Foundation. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Duke University with majors in the areas of Mathematics and Management Science and his law degree, with honors, from the University of Maryland School of Law in 1978, where he was a member of the Order of the Coif. Mr. Walker is admitted to practice law in Maryland, the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, and the Supreme Court of the United States.

Donald J. Detweiler. Special Counsel. Mr. Detweiler is a member of Saul Ewing's Bankruptcy Department. He concentrates his practice in the areas of complex bankruptcy litigation and commercial litigation matters, where he represents debtors, creditors' committees, landlords and equity holders.

Mr. Detweiler is admitted to practice in Delaware and Pennsylvania. He is also admitted to the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. He is a member of the Delaware State Bar Association.

He received his B.S. degree in Economics from Villanova University and his law degree from Widener University School of Law in 1992, where he was a member of *phi delta phi* legal honors society.

John F. Stoviak. Partner and Chair of Litigation Department. Mr. Stoviak is the Chair of Saul Ewing's Litigation Department. He previously served as Saul Ewing's Managing Partner from December 1994 to January 2002 and as a member of Saul, Ewing's Executive Committee

from August 1990 to January 2002. Mr. Stoviak regularly has been listed in the book entitled *Best Lawyers in America* and he has been chosen as one of Philadelphia's best lawyers by *Philadelphia Magazine*.

Since 1976, Mr. Stoviak has focused his practice on handling complex commercial and environmental litigations for a number of nationally known clients. During his 26+ years of practice, he has tried successfully a number of cases in federal courts in Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey and Delaware. Mr. Stoviak also has successfully argued more than fifteen appeals in the Pennsylvania state appellate courts.

Mr. Stoviak has handled a wide variety of complex cases involving environmental issues, contract disputes, antitrust claims, trade secret claims, tax valuation disputes, public bid award challenges, real estate approval disputes, covenant not to compete claims and securities disputes.

Mr. Stoviak receive his B.A. degree from Harvard University and a law degree from the Dickinson School of Law, where he was Projects Editor of the *Dickinson Law Review*. He is admitted to practice in Pennsylvania.

Timothy E. Hoeffner. Partner. Mr. Hoeffner is a member of Saul Ewing's Litigation Department. He concentrates his practice in complex securities and business litigation, as well as crisis management and counseling on corporate governance and disclosure issues.

Mr. Hoeffner has represented numerous corporations and directors and officers in securities class action and shareholder derivative litigation, including litigation involving Sotheby's Holdings, Pfizer, Inc., and the Interpublic Group (McCann-Erickson). Among his high-profile cases, Mr. Hoeffner represented Enron Corporation in various civil cases and aspects of bankruptcy proceedings, including recovery of \$88 million in a material adverse change case against Dynegy and the defense of shareholder and ERISA class actions.

Mr. Hoeffner has conducted numerous internal corporate and special committee investigations, including the Audit Committee investigation of accounting irregularities at The Leslie Fay Companies. He has also represented many corporations, officers and accountants in enforcement proceedings before the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Mr. Hoeffner's litigation practice is enhanced by his extensive experience advising corporations, boards and board committees on a variety of business law matters, including issues relating to financial reporting, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, restatement of financial results, corporate control, securities trading, listing of securities on national exchanges and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

Prior to joining Saul Ewing, Mr. Hoeffner was in private practice with the New York office of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, where he spent 16 years as a member of the firm's Business and Securities Litigation Department.

Mr. Hoeffner frequently writes about securities issues. His published articles include, "Enron Court Clarifies Pleading Standard for Individual Representatives of a Professional