1				SUMI	MARY	SHEET			
2	In Re:					Proceedings	Under Chapter	r 11	
3	BCE WEST, 1	L.P., et al.,				Case No. B	98-12547-ECF-	CGC	
4 5		Debtors.				(Case Nos. 98-12570-E	98-12547-ECF- CF-CGC)	CGC throug	gh
						(Jointly Adr	ministered)		
6 7						APPLICAT AND COST	D FINAL INT TION FOR AP IS FOR SERV	PROVAL O	DERED
8							. & WILMER : OF FEBRUARY		THE
9	EID: 38-3197	19				THROUGH	H MAY 26, 200	00	
10	Fees Previously	Requested	\$223,21	0.50	Name of	f Applicant: S	Snell & Wilmer L	.L.P.	
11									
12	Fees Previously	Awarded	\$223,21	0.50	Role in		unsel for Official mmittee	Unsecured (Creditors'
13	Expenses Previ	ously Requested	\$8,709.	55	Current	Application: 0	2/1/00 through 0:	5/26/00 (Fina	ıl)
14	Expenses Previ	ously Awarded	\$8,709.	55	Fees Re	equested:	\$54,769.00		
15	Retainer Paid		\$None			es Requested : equested:	\$ 5,798.61* \$60,567.61*		
16		NAME OF		YEAR		HOURS BIL	LED/		TOTAL FOR
17		PROFESSIONAL/ PARAPROFESSION	NAL	ADMITT: PRACTIO		CURRENT APPLICATI	ON	RATE	APPLICATION
18	PARTNER	DANIEL J. McAULII	FFE	197	70		0.3	350.00	\$105.00
19	PARTNER	DONALD L. GAFFN	EY	197	79	1	41.9	320.00	45,408.00
	PARTNER	ROBERT R. KINAS		198	86		2.3	260.00	598.00
20	ASSOCIATE	LORI SCHMIG		199	94		0.3	180.00	54.00
21	ASSOCIATE	ROBERT C. DAUM		199	99		9.5	115.00	1,092.50
22	ASSOCIATE	JOE STROUD III		199	98	4	12.6	115.00	4,899.00
	PARALEGAL	UTE-KARIN REDIN	G			2	27.5	95.00	2,612.50
23	TOTAL					2	24.4		\$54,769.00
24	TOTAL BLEND	ED HOURLY RATE: \$2	65.02						
25	(Excluding Parap								
26	* These totals do	not include \$109.56 for l	Federal Exp	ress charge	which appe	ared in our May	fee statement but v	was incurred af	fter May 26, 2000

Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES LAW OFFICES One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 8504-2202 (602) 382-6000

I. INTRODUCTION

Applicant is a law partnership with offices in Phoenix and Tucson, Arizona; Irvine, California; Salt Lake City, Utah; and Denver, Colorado. All attorneys who appear in this case are duly licensed to practice in the State of Arizona and various United States District Courts. Applicant specializes, in part, in insolvency, bankruptcy, and corporate reorganization matters, and is well qualified and experienced to have performed services in this case.

II. BACKGROUND

On October 5, 1998, the Debtors filed their Voluntary Petitions under 11 U.S.C. § 301 in Case Nos. 98-12547-PHX-CGC through 98-12570-PHX-CGC. On October 5, 1998, this Court entered its Order granting joint administration of the Debtor cases.

On October 21, 1998, the United States Trustee appointed certain unsecured creditors to the Joint Committee of Unsecured Creditors. The Committee applied to the Court for employment of Snell & Wilmer as local counsel to the Official Unsecured Creditors' Committee. On October 26, 1998, this Court entered its "Order Approving Application to Employ Snell & Wilmer, L.L.P. as Local Counsel to the Official Unsecured Creditors' Committee" nunc pro tunc as of October 5, 1998.

To date, Snell & Wilmer has filed four Interim Applications, as listed below, and has been reimbursed in full by the Debtors for the fees and costs incurred during those Interim Fee Periods. This is Snell & Wilmer's Final Interim Application in this case.¹

DATE	FEES	COSTS
03/11/99	\$94,801.00	\$3,251.77
07/14/99	\$59,385.00	\$1,001.02
11/15/99	\$43,708.50	\$2,755.86
03/15/00	\$25,316.00	\$1,700.90

This application complies with the United States Trustee's "Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 .U.S.C. § 330," dated January 30, 1996 ("Trustee's Guidelines").

Snell & Wilmer LLP LAW OFFICES LAW OFFICES Center, 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 8004-2202 (602) 882-6000

III. DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES RENDERED

Applicant is requesting approval from the Court for payment of the fees and costs it has incurred during the period February 1, 2000, through May 26, 2000 ("Application Period"), in the amounts of \$54,769.00 and \$5,798.61, respectively. In support of its Final Interim Application, Snell & Wilmer, in compliance with the Trustee's Guidelines, submits its Summary Sheet and its separate billing statements for work performed and for costs incurred during this Application Period, marked Exhibits "A" through "D," respectively and incorporated herein by this reference. The billing statements set forth in detail the time spent and the precise professional services rendered by Applicant during this Application Period. The billing statements are divided into several categories (following the United States Trustee's Guidelines) which depict separate, significant matters for which Applicant has provided services to the Committee.

a. Legal Research (120)

During this Application Period, Applicant researched 9th Circuit case law re Bankruptcy Court's jurisdiction to permit the discharge of liabilities of non-debtors, attorneyclient privilege issues, and issues raised by sale involving bid collusion.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Robert K. Kinas (ROK)	2.3	260.00	\$598.00
Robert Daum (RCD)	9.5	115.00	1,092.50
Joe Stroud III (JSS)	6.0	115.00	690.00
TOTAL	17.8		\$2,380.50

b. Discovery (130)

During this Application Period, Applicant prepared for and attended various hearings dealing with document production issues, prepared for and attended document reviews,

and review and preparation of various motions regarding discovery issues.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Daniel McAuliffe (DJM)	0.3	350.00	\$105.00
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	25.4	320.00	8,128.00
TOTAL	25.7		\$8,233.00

Case Administration (140) c.

During this Application Period, Applicant reviewed and analyzed pleadings, including orders, motions and objections thereto. Applicant prepared for and attended monthly court hearings, responded to phone calls from creditors and consulted and coordinated with lead Committee counsel regarding status of case.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	18.6	320.00	\$5,952.00
Ute-Karin Reding (UKR)	13.9	95.00	1,320.50
TOTAL	32.5		\$7,272.50

d. **Meeting of Creditors (141)**

During this Application Period, Applicant prepared for and participated telephonically in Committee meetings; and consulted and coordinated with lead Committee counsel re Committee matters.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	8.5	320.00	\$2,720.00
TOTAL	8.5		\$2,720.00

Plan and Disclosure Statement (142) e.

During this Application Period, Applicant prepared for and attended settlement and confirmation hearings, reviewed objections to the Plan and Disclosure Statement, reviewed McDonald's documents and issues relating to same, and conducted numerous telephone conferences.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	87.5	320.00	\$28,000.00
Joe Stroud III (JSS)	33.3	115.00	3,829.50
TOTAL	120.8		\$31,829.50

f. Litigation (160)

During this Application Period, Applicant reviewed correspondence regarding Joint Pretrial provisions, prepared subpoenas, and prepared memorandum regarding resolution to McDonald's request for protective order.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	0.9	320.00	\$288.00
Joe Stroud III (JSS)	3.3	115.00	379.50
Ute-Karin Reding (UKR)	0.3	95.00	28.50
TOTAL	4.5		\$696.00

Claims Administration and Objections (190) g.

During this Application Period, Applicant reviewed and responded to various claim inquiries.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	0.9	320.00	\$288.00
TOTAL	0.9		\$288.00

h. Fee/Employment Applications (320)

During this Application Period, Applicant prepared monthly fee and cost statements; prepared Fourth Interim Fee Application and proposed order; and reviewed correspondence regarding fee applications and monthly statements of case professionals.

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	0.1	320.00	\$32.00
Lori Schmig (LRS)	0.3	180.00	54.00
Ute-Karin Reding (UKR)	13.3	95.00	1,263.50
TOTAL	13.7		\$1,349.50

SUMMARY

The Applicant expended 224.4 hours on behalf of the Committee for this Application Period. The total amount for legal services rendered for this period is \$54,769.00. The average blended hourly rate is \$244.95. A summary of totals for each categories is provided below:

CATEGORY	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL FEES	BLENDED RATE
Legal Research (120)	17.8	2,380.50	133.73
Discovery (130)	25.7	8,233.00	320.35
Case Administration (140)	32.5	7,272.50	223.76
Meeting of Creditors (141)	8.5	2,720.00	320.00
Plan/Disclosure Statement (142)	120.8	31,829.50	263.48

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
19 20
20
20 21
202122
20212223
2021222324

TOTAL	224.4	\$54,769.00	\$244.95
Fee/Employment Applications (320)	13.7	1,349.50	98.50
Claims Administration/Objection (190)	.9	288.00	320.00
Litigation (160)	4.5	696.00	154.66

Below follows a summary of the total amount of hours expended by each timekeeper, his/her hourly rate and the amount of legal fees:

TIMEKEEPER	HOURS	RATE	AMOUNT
Daniel J. McAuliffe (DJM)	0.3	350.00	\$105.00
Donald L. Gaffney (DLG)	141.9	320.00	45,408.00
Robert R. Kinas (ROK)	2.3	260.00	598.00
Lori Schmig (LRS)	0.3	180.00	54.00
Robert C. Daum (RCD)	9.5	115.00	1,092.50
Joe Stroud III (JSS)	42.6	115.00	4,899.00
Ute-Karin Reding (UKR)	27.5	95.00	2,612.50
TOTAL	224.4		\$54,769.00

The hourly rates are based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners and paraprofessionals in cases other than cases under Title 11. The services rendered by Snell & Wilmer have not been duplicative of services rendered by other professionals employed or compensated by the estate and have been to the benefit of the estate.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF EXPENSES INCURRED

With respect to the expenses incurred on behalf of the Committee for which reimbursement is sought, all such expenses are charged to all of the Applicant's clients, whether Debtor, creditor, trustee, or non-bankruptcy related. Applicant, in the ordinary course of its

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

practice, maintains the following records of expenses incurred on behalf of its clients:

- Photocopying: Applicant contracts with Bowne Business Services (a) ("Bowne"), an outside service, to perform photocopying functions on Applicant's premises. Bowne owns and maintains all the photocopying and related equipment, and employs the staff who perform the services. On each occasion when photocopying is performed internally, the client for whom copies are made is charged at the rate of \$.20 per page. Those charges are computed daily and input into Applicant's computer system. In situations where hundreds of copies are required, and time permits, Applicant has the documents copied by an independent service, off the premises, at a cost of less than \$.20 per page. The cost of photocopying by an outside service is passed directly to the client.
- (b) Facsimile: As with (a) above, Bowne owns and maintains all of the facsimile equipment. The same staff members who are responsible for photocopying are also responsible for sending and receiving facsimiles. On each occasion when facsimiles are either sent or received, Applicant is charged \$1.00.
- Messenger Service and Federal Express: Services for the above-mentioned (c) are charged to the client only upon receipt of billing from the respective service.
- (d) Computer Research: Charges for computer research are passed directly to the client.
- (e) Long Distance Telephone Charges: Records of long-distance telephone calls are created in a cost recovery system which includes the date of the call, the telephone number reached, the client to be charged for the call, and the attorney or paralegal making the call.

(f) Postage: Postage is charged to clients only when multiple envelopes an	re
being mailed at a single time. A cost recovery system in the Applicant's mail room records the	he
client file number and amount to be charged. These charges are then recorded on the client	
account ledger.	

Travel: Mileage is charged to the client and reimbursed to the attorney or (g) paralegal at the rate of \$.325 per mile. Parking charges, train, and/or airline fares are passed directly to the client at Applicant's cost.

Applicant, in the normal course of its business, produces, from the records described above, computer printouts listing the expenses incurred by Applicant's clients. Applicant's expenses can be located on the last pages of the respective billing statements, which are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" through "D." Such out-of-pocket costs expended by Applicant in the representation of the Committee during the period of February 1, 2000, through May 26, 2000, total \$5,798.61.

TYPE OF COST/EXPENSES	AMOUNT
In-house duplicating	\$2,658.60
Long distance phone calls	55.06
Fax charges	708.00
Courier Service/Document transmittal	1,132.96
Postage	146.74
Business Meeting Expense – Parking	2.25
Westlaw Research	1,095.00
TOTAL	\$5,798.61

Snell & Wilmer LLP LAW OFFICES ONE Arizons Center, 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, Arizons 85004-2202 (602) 382-6000

V. BENEFIT TO THE ESTATE

Applicant has served as local counsel to the Official Unsecured Creditors'

Committee ("Committee") appointed by the office of the United States Trustee. Applicant assists the Committee in providing analyses and counsel regarding local and district court procedures and substituting for the primary Committee counsel on occasion when more cost effective or necessary due to scheduling conflicts.

VI. LAW

Section 331 of the Bankruptcy Code explicitly authorizes the allowance of interim compensation and reimbursement for expenses. Section 331 provides, in part, as follows:

A trustee, an examiner, a debtor's attorney, or any professional person employed under section 327 or 1103 of this title may apply to the court not more than once every 120 days after an order for relief in a case under this title, or more often if the court permits, for such compensation for services rendered before the date of such an application or reimbursement for expenses incurred before such date as is provided under section 330 of this title. After notice and hearing, the court may allow and disburse to such applicant such compensation for reimbursement.

Section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the court may award to a professional person employed under Section 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered by the professional person, based on the time, the nature, the extent and the value of such services, and the cost of comparable services to a non-debtor, as well as reimbursement of the actual, necessary expenses expended by the professional person.

Applicant has requested compensation for time spent in the preparation of portions of this application for fees. In <u>In re Nucorp Energy</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 764 F.2d 655, 662 (9th Cir. 1985), the Court found that bankruptcy counsel should be compensated for the services relating to fee application preparation. The court stated:

Snell & Wilmer
LLP.
LAW OFFICES
ONE Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 83004-2202
(AGY) 332-64001

There is even more reason to compensate attorneys for services related to fee application preparation in bankruptcy proceedings than in any other statutory fee cases. Bankruptcy counsel devotes substantially more time and effort to the preparation of fee applications than do attorneys who receive compensation for comparable services pursuant to other statutory fee award provisions. The fee applications required of counsel by the Bankruptcy Court generally are much more detailed and time consuming than are the fee applications submitted in other types of cases. . .

The preparation and presentation of the detailed fee application required by the Bankruptcy court necessarily involves substantial investments of time and effort from both counsel and their staffs. To require counsel to devote considerable time to the preparation of fee applications, but to demand that they absorb the substantial cost associated therewith, would be to ignore the direct mandate of Section 330 (a) that reasonable compensation be provided for actual, necessary services rendered by bankruptcy counsel. . .

The detailed fee application enables the bankruptcy court to fulfill its obligations to examine carefully the requested compensation in order to insure that the claimed expenses are justified . . .

We hold that the bankruptcy counsel are entitled to compensation for the time and effort spent in preparing fee applications. <u>In re Nucorp</u>,

764 F.2d 655, 658, 659, 662 (1985).

VII. CONCLUSION

Snell & Wilmer respectfully submits that all services rendered in this case have been at the request of the Committee and have been in all respects reasonable and necessary and beneficial to the Committee and the creditors of the estate.

No agreement has been made and no understanding exists for any division of the compensation requested by the Final Application between Snell & Wilmer and any other person.

WHEREFORE, Snell & Wilmer respectfully requests that this Court enter its Order as follows:

1. Allowing compensation to Snell & Wilmer for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$54,769.00 and costs in the amount of \$5,798.61, for a total award of \$60,567.61, for

1	the period February 1, 2000 through May 26, 2000;
2	2. Authorizing the Debtors to pay to Snell & Wilmer compensation for
3	attorneys' fees in the amount of \$54,769.00 and costs in the amount of \$5,798.61, for a total
4	award of \$60,567.61; and
5	3. Granting Applicant such other and further relief as may be just and
6	appropriate under the circumstances of this case.
7	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this day of July, 2000.
8	
9	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
10	By: <u>/s/ Donald L. Gaffney #005717</u> Donald L. Gaffney
11	Lori A. Schmig One Arizona Center
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 Attorneys for the Official Unsecured
13	Creditors' Committee
14	Copy of the foregoing served by U.S. Mail and/or electronic notification
15	this day of July 2000, to:
16	Jeffrey C. Krause
17	AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.
18	2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067
19	
20	Gerald K. Smith LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
21	40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429
22	Richard J. Cuellar
23	OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE 2929 N. Central Avenue, 7th Floor
	Phoenix, AZ 85012
24	
25	
26	

	1		IDENTIFICATION OF TIMEKEEPERS		
	2	DJM	Daniel J. McAuliffe, partner of Snell & Wilmer LLP, Commercial Litigation Section, practice concentrates primarily on complex commercial litigation		
	3		matters; admitted to the bar in 1970. Mr. McAuliffe's current hourly rate is \$350.00		
	4 5	DLG	Donald L. Gaffney, partner of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Bankruptcy/Insolvency Section; practice concentrates primarily on creditor representation and litigation;		
	6	DOM	admitted to the bar in 1979. Mr. Gaffney's current hourly rate is \$320.00.		
Jo	7	ROK	Robert R. Kinas, partner of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. Bankruptcy/Insolvency Section; practice concentrates primarily on bankruptcy; admitted to the bar in 1990. Mr. Kinas' current hourly rate is \$260.00.		
	8 9	LRS	Lori A Schmig, associate of Snell & Wilmer LLP, Bankruptcy/Insolvency Section; practice concentrates primarily on bankruptcy; admitted to the bar in		
	10		1994. Ms. Schmig's current hourly rate is \$180.00.		
	11	RCD	Robert C. Daum, associate of Snell & Wilmer LLP,; admitted to the bar in 1999. Mr. Daum's current hourly rate is \$115.00.		
Wilmer L.P. FILCES FICES OE. Van Buren 85004-2202	12				
% OF W OF W OF W OF izona 2) 382	13	JSS	Joe Stroud III, associate of Snell & Wilmer LLP, Bankruptcy/Insolvency Section; practice concentrates primarily on bankruptcy; admitted to the bar in 1998. Mr.		
Snell LA One Arizona Cen Phoenix, Ar (60)	14		Stroud's current hourly rate is \$115.00.		
One Ari	15 16	UKR	Ute-Karin Reding, paralegal of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Bankruptcy/Insolvency Section; specializes in bankruptcy and litigation. Ms. Reding's current hourly		
	17		rate is \$95.00.		
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
			- 15 -		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I, DONALD L. GAFFNEY, declare:

- I am a partner of the law firm of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. ("Applicant"), attorneys for The Official Unsecured Creditors Committee ("Committee"). The matters stated herein are within my personal knowledge and belief and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify as to the facts stated herein.
 - 2. I am licensed to practice in all courts of the State of Arizona.
- 3. I am the responsible and billing attorney for the Committee in this proceeding.
- 4. Listed below is a breakdown of the hours expended by each attorney or paraprofessional who worked on this case, the hourly rate billed by such person, and their respective area(s) of practice or specialization:

Daniel J McAuliffe – Partner of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.; admitted to practice in 1970; specializing in litigation. 0.3 hours. Billable rate: \$350.00 per hour.

<u>Donald L Gaffney</u> – Partner of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.; admitted to practice in 1979, specializing in bankruptcy. 141.9 hours. Billable rate: \$320.00 per hour.

Robert R. Kinas -- Partner of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.; admitted to practice in 1990; specializing in bankruptcy. 2.3 hours. Billable rate: \$260.00 per hour.

Lori A. Schmig -- Associate of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.; admitted to practice in 1994; specializing in bankruptcy. 0.3 hours. Billable rate: \$180.00 per hour.

Robert C. Daum – Associate of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.; admitted to practice in 1998, specializing in litigation. 9.5 hours. Billable rate: \$115.00 per hour.

<u>Joe Stroud III</u> – Associate of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.; admitted to practice in 1998, specializing in bankruptcy. 42.6 hours. Billable rate: \$115.00 per hour.

<u>Ute-Karin Reding</u> – Paralegal of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.; 27.5 hours. Billable rate: \$95.00 per hour.

> 5. From February 1, 2000 through May 26, 2000, Applicant logged a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

total of 224.4 hours in representing the Committee. The blended hourly rate for professional services performed by the attorneys paraprofessionals working on this case is \$244.95.

- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibits "A" through "D" are the billing statements of Applicant concerning its representation of the Committee in this bankruptcy proceeding. The billing statements are set forth under reference File no. 34270.0001, tasks 120, 130, 140, 141, 142, 160, 190, and 320 and include services rendered from February 1, 2000 through May 26, 2000.
- 7. The billing statements are prepared and forwarded to clients in the ordinary course of practice by Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. Time records prepared by the attorneys and paraprofessionals by Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. reflect one-tenth of an hour as the minimum charge for work performed by the attorneys and paraprofessionals. Additionally, it is Applicant's practice to require its clients to reimburse Applicant for long distance telephone charges, mileage at the rate of \$.325 per mile, parking, Federal Express, messengers, postage, photocopying at the rate of \$.20 per page, computer research and facsimile at the rate of \$1.00 per page.
- 8. Out-of-pocket expenses in this matter are also listed in Exhibits "A" through "D."
- 9. It is respectfully submitted that considering the time spent on this matter, and the fact that Applicant's charges are well in line with comparable law firms, this request for Applicant's award of attorneys' fees and costs is justified and should be allowed in full.
- 10. All of the services for which compensation is sought by Snell & Wilmer LLP were performed for and on behalf of the Committee and not on behalf of any other person.

11. No agreement or understanding exists between Snell & Wilmer LLP
and any other entity for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for
services rendered in or in connection with these cases.
From February 1, 2000 through and including May 26, 2000, the total
amount of fees incurred by Applicant was \$54,769.00 and the total amount of costs
incurred was \$5,798.61, for a total amount of \$60,567.61.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed this day of July, 2000.
Donald L. Gaffney